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```
0
00:00:02.055 --> 00:00:04.505
Okay, it's three 50 and the hearing's resumed.
1
00:00:05.045 --> 00:00:06.305
Um, just before we move on,
2
00:00:06.475 --> 00:00:09.145
could South Cambridge District Council, just please point me
3
00:00:09.145 --> 00:00:13.345
to the paragraph in their local impact report that,
4
00:00:14.285 --> 00:00:17.185
um, concludes that there's a moderate
5
00:00:17.245 --> 00:00:20.665
and significant adverse effect on Bates
6
00:00:20.745 --> 00:00:22.625
by lock conservation area, please.
7
00:00:41.745 --> 00:00:42.045
All right.
8
00:00:49.175 --> 00:00:52.275
Is it nine 18? Excuse me, sir.
9
00:00:52.275 --> 00:00:53.475
Is that temporary or permanent?
10
00:00:53.675 --> 00:00:55.355
Hmm, uh, permanent. Permanent.
11
00:01:20.285 --> 00:01:20.715
Thank you.
12
00:01:26.745 --> 00:01:28.845
Can I clarify that shortly?
```

```
13
00:01:29.125 --> 00:01:30.685
I just need to look through my document
14
00:01:30.685 --> 00:01:31.885
again. Okay. It's got split up.
15
00:01:31.885 --> 00:01:33.845
Okay. Very sorry. Thank you. Yes, that's fine.
16
00:01:38.155 --> 00:01:41.725
Okay. In that case, we'll move on to agenda item eight,
17
00:01:41.725 --> 00:01:44.445
landscape and visual and design.
18
00:01:47.585 --> 00:01:49.885
Um, first bullet point was consideration
19
00:01:49.885 --> 00:01:51.765
of the rotunda design concept
20
00:01:52.695 --> 00:01:54.845
associated landscape and the character of the area.
2 1
00:01:55.425 --> 00:01:57.965
Um, I was hoping the applicant could please briefly explain
2 2
00:01:58.585 --> 00:02:00.605
the evolution of the design concept
2 3
00:02:00.905 --> 00:02:02.925
for the proposed wastewater treatment plant
24
00:02:04.025 --> 00:02:05.925
and how the carriage
25
00:02:05.925 --> 00:02:08.365
of the area was considered as part of that.
26
00:02:09.135 --> 00:02:12.365
```

Thank you. Yes, Mr. Pryor will deal with this. Okay.
27
00:02:12.365 --> 00:02:13.365
Thank you.
28
00:02:13.865 --> 00:02:15.205
Uh, good afternoon, sir. Thank you.
29
00:02:15.205 --> 00:02:16.685
Andrew Pry for, for the applicant.
30
00:02:16.985 --> 00:02:21.725
Um, the context of the, um,
31
00:02:21.905 --> 00:02:25.165
design is set out in chapter five of the dass, um,
32
00:02:25.865 --> 00:02:27.165
as 168 ,
33
00:02:27.425 --> 00:02:30.125
and the evolution of the design is set out in chapter six.
34
00:02:30.865 --> 00:02:34.025
Um, the design was evolved, um,
35
00:02:34.775 --> 00:02:36.105
through consultation
36
00:02:36.125 --> 00:02:40.905
and through, um, uh, expert input, uh,
37
00:02:40.965 --> 00:02:44.585
to align with the criteria in the MPS at paragraph 3.5 on
38
00:02:44.585 --> 00:02:46.425
good design, including alignment
39
00:02:46.495 --> 00:02:48.425
with the national infrastructure commission's

```
4 0
00:02:48.725 --> 00:02:49.785
design principles.
4 1
00:02:50.365 --> 00:02:54.905
Um, that design was developed by, uh, a combination of, uh,
4 2
00:02:54.905 --> 00:02:58.185
leading landscape architects, uh, Robert Myers Associates,
4 3
00:02:58.725 --> 00:03:01.685
uh, local architects to provide the local context
4 4
00:03:01.945 --> 00:03:03.405
and supported by, uh,
4 5
00:03:03.405 --> 00:03:05.405
environmental impact assessment from
4 6
00:03:05.405 --> 00:03:06.485
the MOT McDonald's team.
4 7
00:03:07.305 --> 00:03:10.165
Um, page 33
4 8
00:03:10.465 --> 00:03:13.725
of the design access statement shows the consultation stages
4 9
00:03:13.875 --> 00:03:16.565
that were used to develop that collaboratively
50
00:03:16.635 --> 00:03:18.445
with both the local community
5 1
00:03:18.865 --> 00:03:21.725
and crucially through, through professional design review.
52
00:03:22.865 --> 00:03:26.365
Um, which included four levels, uh, four stages
5 3
00:03:26.385 --> 00:03:28.165
```

```
of design review from the design council,
5 4
00:03:28.625 --> 00:03:31.565
and two stages of design review from the, um,
5 5
00:03:32.275 --> 00:03:33.645
Cambridge Quality Panel.
56
00:03:34.985 --> 00:03:39.645
Um, the, uh, chapter six, which shows
5 7
00:03:39.645 --> 00:03:43.525
that evolution shows three initial designs, um,
5
00:03:43.595 --> 00:03:46.685
broadly a sort of functional triangular design, uh, uh,
59
00:03:47.555 --> 00:03:51.885
semi subterranean, uh, design labeled
6 0
00:03:51.905 --> 00:03:54.845
as the green fingers and a rotunda design.
6 1
00:03:55.345 --> 00:03:58.485
The rotunda design responded to a number of, uh,
6 2
00:03:58.485 --> 00:04:01.205
community inputs, including the need for a, uh,
6 3
00:04:01.365 --> 00:04:04.805
a democratic design that shared the impacts, uh,
6 4
00:04:04.805 --> 00:04:07.325
broadly across the different communities, um,
6 5
00:04:07.505 --> 00:04:11.445
and drawing on linear landscape earthwork features
66
00:04:11.445 --> 00:04:12.605
in the local environment.
```

```
6 7
00:04:13.425 --> 00:04:17.125
Uh, from that first consultation stage, it then evolved in,
6 8
00:04:17.145 --> 00:04:19.725
in certain ways, which we had discussed previously,
6 9
00:04:19.725 --> 00:04:22.805
but include, uh, lowering the heights of certain buildings,
70
00:04:23.305 --> 00:04:25.525
uh, and changing the density of the plant.
71
00:04:32.305 --> 00:04:35.555
Okay. And could you maybe explain why the other options
7 2
00:04:35.555 --> 00:04:39.875
were discounted, um, in favor of the rotunda design?
7 3
00:04:40.855 --> 00:04:44.715
Yes, sir. Um, so, uh, that, that is set out in
74
00:04:44.715 --> 00:04:45.835
that evolution effectively,
7 5
00:04:45.835 --> 00:04:47.715
the subterranean green fingers design,
7 6
00:04:48.065 --> 00:04:51.715
when costed was considered to be, uh, very expensive due
7 7
00:04:51.735 --> 00:04:55.595
to the, due to the, uh, excavation, but also health
78
00:04:55.595 --> 00:04:56.835
and safety operations.
7 9
00:04:57.375 --> 00:04:59.515
The triangular design was felt not
80
00:04:59.515 --> 00:05:01.315
```

```
to perform very well in landscape terms,
81
00:05:01.995 --> 00:05:03.955
although it performed well functionally, uh,
82
00:05:03.955 --> 00:05:05.995
there were corners to it that prevented the flow.
8 3
00:05:06.375 --> 00:05:09.035
The advantage with the, with the circular design was
84
00:05:09.035 --> 00:05:12.515
that all the flow processes could be accommodated in a,
85
00:05:12.515 --> 00:05:14.915
in a logical progression as the, uh,
86
00:05:15.005 --> 00:05:18.235
wastewater enters the plant and then exits the plant.
87
00:05:18.615 --> 00:05:21.715
So there were some functional designs that also helped with
88
00:05:21.715 --> 00:05:24.035
that circular approach as well as the, uh,
89
00:05:24.625 --> 00:05:26.475
more democratic design of a, of a,
90
00:05:26.575 --> 00:05:27.835
of sharing out the impacts.
91
00:05:28.945 --> 00:05:33.815
Okay, thank you. Could you just explain a bit how the, um,
92
00:05:34.115 --> 00:05:36.895
the design council process works
93
00:05:37.275 --> 00:05:40.095
and how long,
```

```
94
00:05:40.255 --> 00:05:42.375
I suppose they were involved in the process for?
95
00:05:43.075 --> 00:05:45.135
Yes, so the, the Design Council were, um,
96
00:05:45.655 --> 00:05:46.815
involved right from the start.
97
00:05:47.115 --> 00:05:51.655
Um, the dates are on page 33 of that, um,
98
00:05:52.035 --> 00:05:53.495
of the design and access statement.
99
00:05:53.715 --> 00:05:57.965
Uh, they, uh, evaluated the, uh,
100
00:05:57.965 --> 00:05:59.165
preliminary designs and then
101
00:05:59.365 --> 00:06:02.045
provided feedback on the rotund design as it evolved.
102
00:06:02.505 --> 00:06:05.605
Um, uh, those, um,
103
00:06:07.105 --> 00:06:09.045
the minutes of those meetings are, are not available.
104
00:06:09.045 --> 00:06:10.845
They contain quite a substantial amount
105
00:06:10.845 --> 00:06:13.525
of commercial detail on cost and other elements, and
106
00:06:13.525 --> 00:06:15.365
therefore haven't been shared with stakeholders,
107
00:06:15.725 --> 00:06:16.725
```

although they have been requested.
108
00:06:16.725 --> 00:06:19.765
However, the Cambridge Quality Panel design review points
109
00:06:19.795 --> 00:06:20.805
have been, have been shared
110
00:06:20.805 --> 00:06:22.885
and were part of the public consultation process.
111
00:06:23.665 --> 00:06:27.485
Um, there were, uh, we can provide details of the names
112
00:06:27.485 --> 00:06:29.685
of the panel members, but they were all national leading
113
00:06:29.685 --> 00:06:33.525
experts in landscape design, sustainability engineering.
114
00:06:34.145 --> 00:06:37.285
Um, if you are interested in the names of the panel members,
115
00:06:37.345 --> 00:06:38.485
we can can provide those.
116
00:06:39.185 --> 00:06:41.365
No, that's okay. And the Cambridge Quality
117
00:06:42.785 --> 00:06:44.205
Review, who's on that?
118
00:06:45.605 --> 00:06:48.415
I'll pass to, uh, Mr. Bowles, who, who is more close. Yes,
119
00:06:48.435 --> 00:06:49.435
Of course. Thank you.
120
00:06:49.435 --> 00:06:49.735

```
121
00:06:56.815 --> 00:07:01.125
Sorry. The, the chemistry quality, um, panel is a panel
122
00:07:01.385 --> 00:07:05.685
of, um, a broad range of professionals who are,
1 2 3
00:07:06.385 --> 00:07:10.045
um, appointed by the joint councils, the city council
124
00:07:10.065 --> 00:07:12.885
and district councils coordinated by the county council,
125
00:07:13.505 --> 00:07:16.005
and they provide independent design review
126
00:07:16.385 --> 00:07:17.525
of major projects.
127
00:07:18.555 --> 00:07:21.805
Okay. So in terms of the, so in terms of the evolution,
128
00:07:21.835 --> 00:07:24.925
they, their favorite design, I guess,
1 2 9
00:07:25.105 --> 00:07:28.605
was also the rotunda design over the triangular
1 3 0
00:07:28.605 --> 00:07:30.685
and the linear green finger design.
1 3 1
00:07:31.225 --> 00:07:34.645
Um, I, I don't believe, sir, that the,
1 3 2
00:07:35.215 --> 00:07:37.285
those three options were presented to them
133
00:07:37.285 --> 00:07:40.205
because they became only involved at, uh, a,
134
00:07:40.325 --> 00:07:42.325
```

```
a at the public stage of consultation.
135
00:07:42.435 --> 00:07:45.645
However, they affirmed the rotunda design and
1 3 6
00:07:46.285 --> 00:07:49.205
provided various inputs including providing a more, um,
1 3 7
00:07:49.995 --> 00:07:53.445
fractal and sculpted element to the, to, to
138
00:07:53.445 --> 00:07:54.725
that rotunda design.
1 3 9
00:07:54.725 --> 00:07:58.805
So they inputted into design and, um, uh, improved it,
140
00:07:58.805 --> 00:08:00.805
but I don't think they would've critiqued those original
1 4 1
00:08:00.805 --> 00:08:02.005
three designs.
142
00:08:02.715 --> 00:08:03.885
Okay, I understand. Thank you.
143
00:08:04.105 --> 00:08:08.815
And how was the wider charact of the area area considered,
144
00:08:08.995 --> 00:08:10.735
um, as part of that sort
145
00:08:10.735 --> 00:08:13.415
of finally selected rotunda design concept?
146
00:08:15.005 --> 00:08:18.865
Um, so, so again, that's described in, in chapter five
147
00:08:18.885 --> 00:08:21.265
of the das with the context and how it responds to that.
```

```
148
00:08:21.365 --> 00:08:24.385
Um, we discussed a little bit on that, uh,
1 4 9
00:08:24.385 --> 00:08:25.825
yesterday in terms of, uh,
1 5 0
00:08:26.305 --> 00:08:27.785
Woodland, I'll probably pass over,
151
00:08:32.225 --> 00:08:35.125
Uh, Joe Morrison, um, on behalf of the applicant.
152
00:08:35.585 --> 00:08:39.525
Um, yes, so the landscape of the area is, um,
1 5 3
00:08:39.915 --> 00:08:41.325
largely flat obviously,
154
00:08:41.545 --> 00:08:43.765
but there is some variation in topography.
155
00:08:43.935 --> 00:08:48.125
There are some nearby, um, earthworks such as plain d**e,
156
00:08:48.625 --> 00:08:50.085
um, devils D**e.
1 5 7
00:08:50.585 --> 00:08:55.045
Um, but, and, and that, that is sort of was, and,
158
00:08:55.385 --> 00:08:59.005
and, and, and inspiration, I suppose for the Rotunda design.
159
00:08:59.485 --> 00:09:02.045
I think one of the points to make there about it is that,
1 6 0
00:09:02.425 --> 00:09:03.485
um, it's a very,
1 6 1
00:09:03.835 --> 00:09:06.285
```

it's a very wide structure in relat to its height.
162
00:09:06.705 --> 00:09:09.325
So on the scale of the water treatment works, in fact,
163
00:09:09.725 --> 00:09:11.485
although on plan it looks circular
164
00:09:12.705 --> 00:09:14.205
and is circular, um,
165
00:09:14.765 --> 00:09:16.765
actually if you look at say the photo montages,
166
00:09:17.065 --> 00:09:19.165
it really doesn't appear as a circle.
167
00:09:19.345 --> 00:09:22.725
It actually appears as a more like a linear bank, actually.
168
00:09:23.305 --> 00:09:25.685
So, because just simply 'cause it's sheer width.
169
00:09:28.295 --> 00:09:32.785
Okay. Thank you. Um, and save Honey Hill Group, uh, has
170
00:09:33.305 --> 00:09:34.545
provided some examples of other
171
00:09:35.285 --> 00:09:38.145
infrastructure project design, including, um,
172
00:09:38.285 --> 00:09:42.625
the Peace Haven wastewater wastewater treatment plant, um,
173
00:09:43.005 --> 00:09:45.105
in their rep 1172
174
00:09:45.105 --> 00:09:48.145
and rep 3068 , which appears

175
00:09:48.145 --> 00:09:51.505
to assimilate into the surrounding landscape, uh,
176
00:09:51.505 --> 00:09:54.705
without the reliance on, for example, planting
177
00:09:54.965 --> 00:09:56.225
for screening purposes.
178
00:09:56.885 --> 00:09:59.425
Uh, could the applicant please comment on whether such a
179
00:09:59.425 --> 00:10:02.065
concept was considered as part of the proposed development
180
00:10:02.565 --> 00:10:07.225
and highlight any constraints for such an approach,
181
00:10:07.525 --> 00:10:08.785
um, in terms of this project?
182
00:10:09.975 --> 00:10:11.505
Yeah, thank you sir. Andrew.
183
00:10:11.505 --> 00:10:13.945
Andrew, prior for the applicant, um, the, the,
184
00:10:13.965 --> 00:10:15.625
the closest design concept to,
185
00:10:15.625 --> 00:10:18.585
to Peace Haven would be the Green Fingers design.
186
00:10:19.085 --> 00:10:23.065
Um, the ability to, uh,
187
00:10:23.985 --> 00:10:27.385
submerge significant structures into the ground here, uh,
188
00:10:27.485 --> 00:10:30.385

```
was, was considered but discounted due to both cost
189
00:10:30.385 --> 00:10:31.945
and health and safety implications.
1 9 0
00:10:32.605 --> 00:10:36.945
Um, Anglin Water's operational preferences for both,
1 9 1
00:10:37.405 --> 00:10:40.865
um, uh, treatment, uh, operations
192
00:10:40.925 --> 00:10:45.225
and crucially sludge treatment, um, wouldn't accord with
1 9 3
00:10:45.805 --> 00:10:47.985
the solution delivered at Peace Haven.
194
00:10:48.285 --> 00:10:50.665
Uh, that form of development
195
00:10:50.665 --> 00:10:52.265
and form of treatment processes were not
196
00:10:52.465 --> 00:10:53.625
considered in the alternatives process.
197
00:10:54.045 --> 00:10:56.945
Um, so we would not have considered
198
00:10:56.945 --> 00:10:58.385
that something like Peace Haven would
1 9 9
00:10:59.005 --> 00:11:00.905
be technically possible in this location.
200
00:11:01.235 --> 00:11:03.625
Could you just explain that a little bit further as
201
00:11:03.625 --> 00:11:04.625
to why, um,
```

```
202
00:11:05.045 --> 00:11:06.345
Or What, what the differences are?
203
00:11:06.455 --> 00:11:09.305
Crucially, the height of the digesters and,
204
00:11:09.365 --> 00:11:10.985
and we can pass over to Mr.
205
00:11:11.045 --> 00:11:12.945
Dexter if necessary, to talk a little bit more about the
206
00:11:12.945 --> 00:11:15.265
differences between the treatment processes,
207
00:11:15.265 --> 00:11:19.385
but the, the, the design, um, we,
208
00:11:19.385 --> 00:11:21.425
we looked both at sinking, uh,
209
00:11:22.065 --> 00:11:23.225
structures into the ground further,
210
00:11:23.325 --> 00:11:25.585
and we looked at lowering the height of the processes,
211
00:11:25.585 --> 00:11:28.665
but effectively you have quite tall structures here,
2 1 2
00:11:28.695 --> 00:11:32.665
preferred, um, to deliver the operations in, in a
213
00:11:33.705 --> 00:11:35.465
economic and, uh, feasible manner.
214
00:11:39.515 --> 00:11:44.245
Okay, thank you. Um, a question for Save Honey Hill.
2 1 5
00:11:44.585 --> 00:11:48.485
```

Um, you provide a visualization of paragraph 5.2,
216
00:11:49.545 --> 00:11:51.445
um, which is on page 14 of your
217
00:11:52.355 --> 00:11:56.685
written representation appendices, which is rep 1172.
218
00:11:57.985 --> 00:12:00.085
If you'd like to, um, have a look at that.
219
00:12:04.855 --> 00:12:06.595
Can you, it doesn't seem to
220
00:12:07.385 --> 00:12:09.635
reflect the proposed development as seen
221
00:12:10.975 --> 00:12:13.565
In The photo bondage documents, for example.
222
00:12:14.385 --> 00:12:16.605
Um, could you clarify what the image represents
223
00:12:16.945 --> 00:12:20.805
and why it's included, please?
224
00:12:21.545 --> 00:12:25.025
Um, I, I shall,
225
00:12:25.085 --> 00:12:26.825
so could you perhaps give the reference again
226
00:12:27.045 --> 00:12:28.045
Yes. That document
227
00:12:28.045 --> 00:12:30.985
rep one dash 17 2?
228
00:12:35.215 --> 00:12:36.215
Yes, please.

```
229
00:13:15.125 --> 00:13:16.555
Sorry, could you Mind the page
2 3 0
00:13:16.555 --> 00:13:17.875
or paragraph reference in
2 3 1
00:13:17.875 --> 00:13:18.875
That? Yes, it was,
2 3 2
00:13:18.875 --> 00:13:22.555
um, I think page 14, paragraph 5.2
2 3 3
00:13:27.155 --> 00:13:29.155
PDF page 29.
234
00:13:51.395 --> 00:13:53.055
So can I just clarify, is that
2 3 5
00:13:53.055 --> 00:13:55.415
what the proposed development would be
236
00:13:56.145 --> 00:13:57.395
looking like, or is that,
237
00:14:01.435 --> 00:14:03.735
Is That the image you're, is
2 3 8
00:14:03.735 --> 00:14:05.175
that the image you're questioning?
2 3 9
00:14:05.955 --> 00:14:10.175
Yes, that, that is from an angling water,
240
00:14:11.305 --> 00:14:13.825
um, proposal document.
241
00:14:17.205 --> 00:14:19.575
Okay. So why is it, what, why you, uh,
242
00:14:19.575 --> 00:14:21.295
```

```
why is it included in your representation
243
00:14:21.795 --> 00:14:25.575
and do you make comment on it if it's not part
244
00:14:25.575 --> 00:14:26.815
of the proposed development?
245
00:14:31.035 --> 00:14:35.335
Yes, it's, It's, it's used as an, an illustration
246
00:14:36.915 --> 00:14:41.135
to, um, explain why
247
00:14:41.135 --> 00:14:42.335
we're reacting the way we are.
248
00:14:43.835 --> 00:14:46.135
But if that's not the proposed development, I'm,
249
00:14:46.395 --> 00:14:47.655
I'm unclear as
250
00:14:47.655 --> 00:14:52.285
to why you are raising concerns about this particular image,
251
00:14:53.105 --> 00:14:54.105
If that's not, sorry.
252
00:14:54.395 --> 00:14:56.325
That is the proposed development
253
00:14:57.805 --> 00:15:00.425
and that's what we're criticizing.
254
00:15:01.215 --> 00:15:04.625
Okay. So could applicant just confirm whether that
255
00:15:04.655 --> 00:15:05.945
that is the proposed development,
```

```
256
00:15:12.505 --> 00:15:14.295
Sorry, Joe Morrison for the applicant?
2 5 7
00:15:14.875 --> 00:15:18.095
Um, it's, that is an earlier photo montage,
258
00:15:18.095 --> 00:15:20.735
which was produced, I think at, uh, for the Pierre.
259
00:15:20.835 --> 00:15:22.295
So they've been, subsequently,
260
00:15:22.295 --> 00:15:25.495
there are new photo montages attached to the, um, as part
2 6 1
00:15:25.495 --> 00:15:27.375
of the environmental impact assessment.
262
00:15:27.835 --> 00:15:30.175
So that's, that's out outta date, basically, so that
263
00:15:30.375 --> 00:15:32.335
that shows the water treatment works
264
00:15:32.475 --> 00:15:34.975
before, um, quite a lot
265
00:15:34.975 --> 00:15:36.335
of the structures were lowered in height
266
00:15:36.715 --> 00:15:39.015
and some of them were emitted altogether from the design.
267
00:15:40.675 --> 00:15:42.805
Okay. Is that save any Hill group's, uh,
268
00:15:42.835 --> 00:15:44.085
understanding as well?
2 6 9
00:15:44.465 --> 00:15:45.685
```

```
Um, certainly is, sir.
270
00:15:45.785 --> 00:15:48.085
Um, yeah, so, and I think the caption,
2 7 1
00:15:48.085 --> 00:15:51.485
which is just invisible on screen at the moment, um,
2 7 2
00:15:51.755 --> 00:15:55.685
says exactly that, that it is the a w presentation as
273
00:15:55.685 --> 00:15:57.125
of February, 2022.
274
00:15:57.745 --> 00:16:01.325
Um, we are not saying that that is a submitted design. Okay.
2 7 5
00:16:01.505 --> 00:16:06.285
But it, it, it answers in Mr Al's terms that these were the
276
00:16:06.955 --> 00:16:09.365
unadorned impacts of the, of the scheme
277
00:16:09.545 --> 00:16:11.405
as it was being proposed at that stage.
278
00:16:12.515 --> 00:16:17.165
Okay. And I suppose move, moving on from that, if that was
279
00:16:17.165 --> 00:16:18.245
what was initially proposed
280
00:16:18.345 --> 00:16:21.925
and what is what actually came in as part of the
281
00:16:22.435 --> 00:16:26.165
application, would you say there was a considerable, um,
282
00:16:26.305 --> 00:16:27.325
change to that
```

```
2 8 3
00:16:27.545 --> 00:16:32.205
and would, did that change, um, sort
284
00:16:32.205 --> 00:16:34.045
of alleviate any of your concerns?
285
00:16:35.745 --> 00:16:39.365
Uh, the, uh, digestive stacks came down by five meters,
286
00:16:39.405 --> 00:16:43.195
I think, but they're still pretty prominent.
287
00:16:45.145 --> 00:16:47.875
Okay. The chim, the chimney remained the same height?
288
00:16:50.815 --> 00:16:53.275
Uh, yes. It Joe Morrison for the applicant?
289
00:16:53.535 --> 00:16:57.275
Um, yes, it is, some of the structures are similar,
290
00:16:57.815 --> 00:16:59.315
but there are fewer of them,
291
00:16:59.375 --> 00:17:00.635
but there, there are fewer of them
292
00:17:00.635 --> 00:17:02.315
that will be visible in the view, um,
2 9 3
00:17:02.315 --> 00:17:05.035
because they have been no in, in height.
294
00:17:05.775 --> 00:17:07.035
So it is a bit different.
295
00:17:07.055 --> 00:17:09.115
Um, the, sorry, the other point I wanted to make was just
296
00:17:09.115 --> 00:17:11.115
```

```
that with photo montages, you do need
297
00:17:11.115 --> 00:17:12.635
to look at them at the correct scale.
298
00:17:12.815 --> 00:17:15.435
So, um, I think this is zoomed in probably,
2 9 9
00:17:15.775 --> 00:17:17.315
so you would need to sort
300
00:17:17.315 --> 00:17:19.555
of look at them at a particular size
301
00:17:19.555 --> 00:17:20.795
that they were designed to be shown at.
302
00:17:20.795 --> 00:17:22.875
Okay. I was, I was mainly hoping for clarification
3 0 3
00:17:22.875 --> 00:17:26.395
that this isn't actually, uh, what's being proposed,
304
00:17:26.695 --> 00:17:29.755
and I was just trying to understand why you kind of gone
305
00:17:29.775 --> 00:17:34.115
to comment on this particular image if that's not the one
306
00:17:34.115 --> 00:17:35.355
that's being proposed rather than
307
00:17:35.355 --> 00:17:36.555
the one that is being proposed.
308
00:17:37.035 --> 00:17:38.555
I think, I think so. Um, Mr.
309
00:17:38.895 --> 00:17:42.275
Als explained that it was, it was part of the evolution
```

```
310
00:17:42.275 --> 00:17:45.195
of his, it illustrates part of his argument.
311
00:17:45.295 --> 00:17:49.685
It isn't a response to the design as they, as it now stands.
312
00:17:50.105 --> 00:17:51.645
Um, I think we're clear about that.
313
00:17:52.065 --> 00:17:56.325
Um, I mean, this has taken AER to, to an area where
314
00:17:57.385 --> 00:17:59.685
you have been questioning the choices of the three
315
00:18:00.315 --> 00:18:02.285
initial concepts.
316
00:18:02.745 --> 00:18:05.245
Um, Ms. Morrison has pointed out that
317
00:18:05.955 --> 00:18:10.765
only flying over the site will you see a circular site,
318
00:18:11.185 --> 00:18:15.495
and it is in that sense, um, viewed as plainer.
319
00:18:16.195 --> 00:18:18.655
Um, the, the fundamental area of criticism
320
00:18:18.655 --> 00:18:22.135
of the impact on landscape character that we've presented is
321
00:18:22.135 --> 00:18:24.775
that the character of this landscape is essentially rector
322
00:18:24.775 --> 00:18:27.415
linear, um, the field boundaries
323
00:18:27.415 --> 00:18:30.775
```

```
and other elements of a very flat landscape erect linear.
324
00:18:31.395 --> 00:18:34.495
And I don't think we've had clearly set an answer as to,
325
00:18:35.065 --> 00:18:38.575
apart from cost in the relation
326
00:18:38.575 --> 00:18:41.815
to the green fingers example, um, and flow
327
00:18:41.815 --> 00:18:46.495
and ease of operation in relation to the rotunda as
328
00:18:46.495 --> 00:18:49.055
to why a more rectal linear design was not adopted.
3 2 9
00:18:50.925 --> 00:18:54.935
Okay. Thank you. I'll come back to you just in a minute.
3 3 0
00:18:55.195 --> 00:19:00.185
Um, just a question for South Cambridge district council.
3 3 1
00:19:00.525 --> 00:19:04.705
Um, you questioned the design approach in terms
332
00:19:04.705 --> 00:19:05.985
of both the rotunda concept
3 3 3
00:19:06.285 --> 00:19:08.705
and the associated landscape planting in your
3 3 4
00:19:08.705 --> 00:19:09.825
local impact report.
335
00:19:11.445 --> 00:19:12.705
Um, what design
336
00:19:12.725 --> 00:19:15.025
of the proposed wastewater treatment plant would
```

```
337
00:19:15.695 --> 00:19:17.385
your preference have been, uh,
3 3 8
00:19:17.485 --> 00:19:21.185
and why do you consider that it would be any more reflective
339
00:19:21.185 --> 00:19:22.385
of the character of the area,
340
00:19:25.555 --> 00:19:26.555
Sir? Um, we
341
00:19:26.555 --> 00:19:30.575
have the principal landscape officer, um, banner ine
342
00:19:30.675 --> 00:19:33.215
who should be online to, to assist with this.
343
00:19:33.715 --> 00:19:37.415
So just whilst that's happening, you did ask, um, Ms.
344
00:19:37.635 --> 00:19:39.895
Broome for the paragraph references.
345
00:19:40.315 --> 00:19:42.375
Let me know when you want to deal with that.
346
00:19:43.085 --> 00:19:44.255
I'll probably deal with it at the end
347
00:19:44.255 --> 00:19:46.055
of this agenda item. Thank
348
00:19:46.055 --> 00:19:47.055
You. Thank you, sir. So, um,
349
00:19:47.055 --> 00:19:47.855
Ms. Elza,
3 5 0
00:19:50.195 --> 00:19:52.295
```

```
Hi B Elza for South Cams.
351
00:19:52.995 --> 00:19:56.255
Um, uh, my preference would've been
352
00:19:56.555 --> 00:19:59.415
for the first option, which I think they refer to
3 5 3
00:19:59.415 --> 00:20:00.655
as the triangular one.
354
00:20:01.075 --> 00:20:04.015
Um, and for many of the same reasons as safe,
355
00:20:04.015 --> 00:20:08.095
honey Hill Hill just mentioned the, um, the, the,
356
00:20:08.315 --> 00:20:10.415
the more linear and rectal linear shape
357
00:20:10.995 --> 00:20:12.095
was more characteristic
358
00:20:12.095 --> 00:20:14.375
for this particular landscape character area.
359
00:20:15.315 --> 00:20:20.175
Um, the applicant has mentioned, um, some nearby
360
00:20:20.725 --> 00:20:23.055
earthwork features such as Flee D**e
361
00:20:23.115 --> 00:20:26.495
and Devils D**e, which are all linear as opposed
362
00:20:26.635 --> 00:20:27.855
to circular.
363
00:20:28.395 --> 00:20:32.735
Um, and I think that is the, the main issue,
```

```
364
00:20:33.395 --> 00:20:36.295
um, which I had with, with the Rotunda, is that it was,
365
00:20:36.395 --> 00:20:39.095
it was anomalous to this particular character area.
366
00:20:40.395 --> 00:20:44.665
Um, I, I feel like the there is a,
3 6 7
00:20:45.505 --> 00:20:48.405
a, a common ground which could be met, which seeks
368
00:20:48.405 --> 00:20:51.005
to perhaps straighten out some of those earthworks.
369
00:20:51.385 --> 00:20:56.325
And I appreciate, um, what they've said about the experience
3 7 0
00:20:56.465 --> 00:20:59.485
of this rotunda at ground level may appear linear.
371
00:21:00.505 --> 00:21:04.485
Um, but it will have very, uh, distinct ends
372
00:21:04.485 --> 00:21:07.125
or edges, which I think you will be able to
3 7 3
00:21:08.245 --> 00:21:09.405
perceive in the landscape.
374
00:21:13.245 --> 00:21:17.415
Okay, thank you. And were you aware of the options, uh,
375
00:21:17.435 --> 00:21:19.775
are you aware of the options which were considered in the
376
00:21:19.775 --> 00:21:20.975
design access statement?
377
00:21:21.515 --> 00:21:23.095
```

```
Um, for example, the one you mentioned
378
00:21:23.155 --> 00:21:27.055
and the, the kind of green fingered, um,
379
00:21:28.045 --> 00:21:30.935
concept and the reasons why they were discounted?
380
00:21:32.535 --> 00:21:35.475
And were you involved in that process early on also?
381
00:21:36.615 --> 00:21:37.915
No, uh, we weren't.
382
00:21:38.175 --> 00:21:41.435
Um, our, our involvement in the project came
3 8 3
00:21:41.435 --> 00:21:43.315
after the selection of the rotunda was made.
384
00:21:44.255 --> 00:21:46.725
Um, I will also add
385
00:21:46.725 --> 00:21:49.085
that I'm the second landscape architect from South
386
00:21:49.195 --> 00:21:50.285
Cams to have worked on this.
387
00:21:50.345 --> 00:21:52.045
The first one has left the authority,
388
00:21:52.705 --> 00:21:57.285
but she also, um, was only aware of the Rotunda version.
389
00:21:57.745 --> 00:21:59.165
Um, when,
390
00:21:59.715 --> 00:22:02.885
when we asked why we weren't involved in the selection
```

```
391
00:22:02.885 --> 00:22:06.285
process, um, we had very similar response
3 9 2
00:22:06.425 --> 00:22:07.965
to, uh, what Mr.
393
00:22:08.095 --> 00:22:11.925
Pryor has said. Um, so the answer is no,
394
00:22:11.925 --> 00:22:13.685
we weren't involved in that selection process.
395
00:22:15.395 --> 00:22:17.565
Okay. And do you, do you accept the reasons why
396
00:22:17.565 --> 00:22:18.885
they weren't brought forward?
397
00:22:19.085 --> 00:22:20.085
IE Um,
398
00:22:22.265 --> 00:22:23.485
It seems to be a, I do accept
399
00:22:23.485 --> 00:22:24.485
Them a cost element.
4 0 0
00:22:24.685 --> 00:22:26.165
I don't, I, I do accept them,
4 0 1
00:22:26.185 --> 00:22:30.445
but I don't necessarily ag agree that the, the, the costings
4 0 2
00:22:30.745 --> 00:22:34.325
and so on could be the wholesale, um,
4 0 3
00:22:35.225 --> 00:22:37.525
the wholesale reasoning for discounting the others.
4 0 4
00:22:40.695 --> 00:22:44.345
```

```
Okay. And do you think there's any scope
4 0 5
00:22:44.365 --> 00:22:47.905
to make any changes to the landscape design, for example,
4 0 6
00:22:48.845 --> 00:22:51.905
you know, based on your preference for wider rides,
4 0 7
00:22:52.525 --> 00:22:54.465
for example, to break up woodland into
4 0 8
00:22:54.465 --> 00:22:55.625
more characteristic blocks?
4 0 9
00:22:57.065 --> 00:22:58.665
I do, yes. I think if there was, 'cause we
4 1 0
00:22:58.665 --> 00:23:00.785
Are, we are where we are with the design, it's, we agreed,
4 1 1
00:23:01.685 --> 00:23:02.945
you know, it's, it, it's,
4 1 2
00:23:03.185 --> 00:23:07.865
although it's, uh, still not a detailed stage,
4 1 3
00:23:07.895 --> 00:23:09.185
there's limit.
4 1 4
00:23:09.385 --> 00:23:11.465
I, well, from what I understand, there's limited scope
4 1 5
00:23:11.725 --> 00:23:14.305
to change the actual design concept at this point.
4 1 6
00:23:15.765 --> 00:23:18.105
No, that's understood. And I do feel
4 1 7
00:23:18.105 --> 00:23:21.705
that there are opportunities if we are, um, approached
```

```
4 1 8
00:23:21.705 --> 00:23:24.065
for consultation during the detailed design stage
4 1 9
00:23:24.525 --> 00:23:26.345
to make those other changes
4 2 0
00:23:26.345 --> 00:23:29.865
because I feel like they are fundamentally achievable.
4 2 1
00:23:30.285 --> 00:23:35.255
Um, and I wouldn't say minor in
422
00:23:35.255 --> 00:23:36.775
nature, but ultimately achievable.
4 2 3
00:23:39.425 --> 00:23:43.955
Okay. And if, if, if they were widened out
4 2 4
00:23:43.955 --> 00:23:45.725
to break up the woodland, what might
4 2 5
00:23:45.725 --> 00:23:47.285
that result in a greater visibility
426
00:23:48.145 --> 00:23:50.085
of the proposed wastewater treatment plant?
4 2 7
00:23:50.425 --> 00:23:51.805
And is it
4 2 8
00:23:51.805 --> 00:23:55.805
therefore important to strike a balance between the planting
4 2 9
00:23:55.985 --> 00:23:57.125
for screening purposes
4 3 0
00:23:57.125 --> 00:23:58.845
and planting, uh,
4 3 1
00:23:58.905 --> 00:24:01.365
```

```
to be more characteristic of the surrounding area?
4 3 2
00:24:03.535 --> 00:24:04.615
I, I do believe
4 3 3
00:24:04.615 --> 00:24:06.615
that you could widen these out without affecting the
4 3 4
00:24:06.615 --> 00:24:08.055
visibility because of the way
4 3 5
00:24:08.055 --> 00:24:10.615
that they're placed on the landscape and,
4 3 6
00:24:10.715 --> 00:24:15.535
and how the vis the, the, the view corridor could be aimed.
4 3 7
00:24:15.635 --> 00:24:18.015
For example, you would aim them away from the facility.
4 3 8
00:24:18.075 --> 00:24:20.255
And that's for the most part how most
4 3 9
00:24:20.275 --> 00:24:22.015
of the rides currently are.
4 4 0
00:24:22.085 --> 00:24:26.055
They're, they're, um, at angles which would not,
4 4 1
00:24:26.895 --> 00:24:29.315
you know, allow sort of an unhindered view of,
442
00:24:29.415 --> 00:24:31.395
of the rotunda or the earthwork.
443
00:24:32.345 --> 00:24:34.955
Okay. And as you would be consulted on the landscape
444
00:24:34.955 --> 00:24:38.155
design, um, anyway, as part of requirement seven,
```

```
4 4 5
00:24:38.325 --> 00:24:39.635
which the detailed design
446
00:24:39.735 --> 00:24:43.715
and requirement 11, which is a landscape, ecological
4 4 7
00:24:43.855 --> 00:24:46.395
and recreational management plan, um,
448
00:24:47.215 --> 00:24:49.755
you would have a degree of control over the final details.
4 4 9
00:24:50.815 --> 00:24:54.955
And do you think that you could deal with those
4 5 0
00:24:55.675 --> 00:24:58.955
specific outstanding concerns at that point in time,
4 5 1
00:24:59.015 --> 00:25:02.115
or do you think it's something which you would want
4 5 2
00:25:02.115 --> 00:25:04.435
to see any documents changed at this point in time?
4 5 3
00:25:06.945 --> 00:25:08.865
I think for the design elements, yes,
4 5 4
00:25:08.865 --> 00:25:11.745
those can be retained for detailed design stage
4 5 5
00:25:12.255 --> 00:25:14.545
with matters relating to the L-E-R-M-P,
4 5 6
00:25:14.795 --> 00:25:15.985
which I'm not sure if you've,
4 5 7
00:25:16.165 --> 00:25:18.505
if you me you mean in this particular question.
4 5 8
00:25:19.145 --> 00:25:22.105
```

I do think there are some changes which could be made 459
00:25:22.125 --> 00:25:24.385
to make the document, um,
460
00:25:26.925 --> 00:25:29.975
more applicable to landscape maintenance and management.
461
00:25:30.035 --> 00:25:33.935
At the moment, it focuses very much on the ecological
462
00:25:34.485 --> 00:25:37.375
habitat creation and the recreational elements,
463
00:25:38.035 --> 00:25:40.375
but I find it doesn't work very well
464
00:25:40.635 --> 00:25:43.295
as a landscape maintenance and management plan
465
00:25:43.485 --> 00:25:47.815
because they're kind of all, um, agglomerated together
466
00:25:48.855 --> 00:25:51.155
in the two tables, 4.2 and 4.3.
467
00:25:52.395 --> 00:25:54.845
Okay. I think my question was more to do with the,
468
00:25:55.865 --> 00:25:57.085
the kind of visual aspect
469
00:25:57.085 --> 00:26:01.445
or the landscape design element, which is shown on some
470
00:26:01.445 --> 00:26:02.965
of the plans in the $l$.
471
00:26:03.745 --> 00:26:05.165
Um, would they Yes.

```
4 7 2
00:26:05.165 --> 00:26:06.605
Would those plans, for example, need
4 7 3
00:26:06.605 --> 00:26:07.605
to be amended at this point
4 7 4
00:26:07.625 --> 00:26:10.845
or do they provide a, you know, framework in which
4 7 5
00:26:11.595 --> 00:26:14.045
that could be, um, worked up
476
00:26:14.665 --> 00:26:16.965
in more detail at detail design stage?
4 7 7
00:26:17.225 --> 00:26:20.365
Um, in terms of the requirements that I just mentioned,
478
00:26:21.345 --> 00:26:22.345
Yes. I do believe they
4 7 9
00:26:22.345 --> 00:26:23.725
could be dealt with, um,
4 8 0
00:26:24.065 --> 00:26:26.765
at the detail design stage and don't need to be amended now.
4 8 1
00:26:28.235 --> 00:26:28.845
Okay. Thank you.
4 8 2
00:26:33.995 --> 00:26:35.335
Uh, I'll come back to you in a second.
4 8 3
00:26:35.515 --> 00:26:38.935
Mr. Gilbert, uh, just for the applicants, um,
4 8 4
00:26:39.155 --> 00:26:42.695
was any consideration given to the potential to,
4 8 5
00:26:44.325 --> 00:26:46.005
```

```
I know you reduced the height of some
4 8 6
00:26:46.005 --> 00:26:49.005
of the tall elements from early, earlier stages,
4 8 7
00:26:49.905 --> 00:26:53.645
but the toilet elements seem
4 8 8
00:26:53.645 --> 00:26:56.645
to be the digesters, the gas holder,
4 8 9
00:26:57.705 --> 00:27:00.525
the heating pasteurization and hydrolysis plant.
4 9 0
00:27:02.255 --> 00:27:04.275
Uh, was any consideration given to
4 9 1
00:27:05.925 --> 00:27:09.075
maybe providing a greater number of these, noting
4 9 2
00:27:09.925 --> 00:27:12.715
their fairly minimal footprint in relation to the whole
4 9 3
00:27:12.715 --> 00:27:16.235
of the wastewater treatment plant site area when seen,
4 9 4
00:27:16.255 --> 00:27:19.955
for example, on the design plans, which are rep 1 0 19?
4 9 5
00:27:20.935 --> 00:27:25.355
So do, does there have to be two digesters at that height?
4 9 6
00:27:25.365 --> 00:27:27.995
Could there not be four digesters at half that height?
4 9 7
00:27:28.125 --> 00:27:29.675
There may be some functional reason
4 9 8
00:27:29.675 --> 00:27:31.035
why that couldn't be the case.
```

```
4 9 9
00:27:31.975 --> 00:27:34.955
Uh, sir, uh, Andrew prior the applicant, that is correct.
500
00:27:34.955 --> 00:27:36.395
There's a balanced exercise.
501
00:27:36.615 --> 00:27:39.795
The more smaller di digesters you have,
502
00:27:39.795 --> 00:27:41.435
the less efficient they are.
503
00:27:41.935 --> 00:27:43.875
Uh, a very detailed design process,
504
00:27:44.835 --> 00:27:46.955
internal design process was carried out looking at the
5 0 5
00:27:46.955 --> 00:27:48.915
optimization process of, uh,
506
00:27:48.915 --> 00:27:51.795
both profile height versus width of the digesters
507
00:27:52.055 --> 00:27:53.195
and number of digesters.
508
00:27:53.215 --> 00:27:55.595
And this was the optimal balance between, uh,
509
00:27:55.865 --> 00:27:59.435
landscape impacts and efficiency of digestion.
5 1 0
00:28:00.775 --> 00:28:02.345
Okay. And in terms of the gas holder,
5 1 1
00:28:03.265 --> 00:28:07.425
'cause that I assume holds gas,
512
00:28:07.885 --> 00:28:11.265
```

and why does there have to be one large gas holder?
513
00:28:11.325 --> 00:28:13.705
Why couldn't there be two smaller gas holders given

## 514

00:28:13.705 --> 00:28:14.865
that on the design plans?
515
00:28:14.865 --> 00:28:17.985
There seems to be a fair bit of area
516
00:28:18.005 --> 00:28:19.465
that's not being utilized.
517
00:28:20.545 --> 00:28:22.125
Um, so I think we'll have to respond.
518
00:28:22.125 --> 00:28:23.765
That's a fairly detailed design decision.
519
00:28:24.375 --> 00:28:27.485
There was a, a quite detailed design process
520
00:28:27.585 --> 00:28:28.965
for the whole site that sought
521
00:28:29.615 --> 00:28:31.125
input from all the environmental specialists.
522
00:28:31.125 --> 00:28:32.565
So we'll, we'll come back to you on that one.
523
00:28:32.925 --> 00:28:35.405
I suspect again, it's a functional issue about, uh,
524
00:28:35.705 --> 00:28:37.645
what's on the market, what's available in terms

## 525

00:28:37.645 --> 00:28:40.085
of the gas bag, um, and, and,

```
526
00:28:40.265 --> 00:28:42.205
and the optimal control of that gas.
527
00:28:42.265 --> 00:28:43.645
But we will, we'll respond on that.
528
00:28:43.915 --> 00:28:45.485
Okay, thank you. And also with regard
529
00:28:45.485 --> 00:28:46.805
to the heating pasteurization
5 3 0
00:28:46.805 --> 00:28:48.285
and hydrolysis plant, which
531
00:28:49.445 --> 00:28:52.165
I think those three things together make up the main sort
532
00:28:52.165 --> 00:28:53.565
of bulk, which would be visible.
533
00:28:53.985 --> 00:28:57.285
So, uh, so you are looking for a description
5 3 4
00:28:57.285 --> 00:28:58.685
of the trade-offs that we looked at
5 3 5
00:28:58.685 --> 00:29:00.205
between height versus process
536
00:29:01.105 --> 00:29:02.105
Yes. And why they couldn't
5 3 7
00:29:02.105 --> 00:29:04.525
be further reduced in height?
538
00:29:04.785 --> 00:29:08.325
Yes. So I, I I I, one just point of clarification is one
5 3 9
00:29:08.325 --> 00:29:13.245
```

```
of the reasons for, um, uh, not being able to submerge, uh,
5 4 0
00:29:13.715 --> 00:29:15.565
tall structures as well as health and safety
5 4 1
00:29:15.625 --> 00:29:17.245
and cost is also groundwater
542
00:29:17.505 --> 00:29:19.205
and the proximity to the aquifer
543
00:29:19.305 --> 00:29:23.245
and limiting the intrusion into, um, in, into groundwater.
544
00:29:23.625 --> 00:29:25.445
So there's another, there was, again,
545
00:29:25.445 --> 00:29:26.645
there's a balanced approach to that.
546
00:29:28.365 --> 00:29:30.415
Okay, thank you. Um,
547
00:29:30.515 --> 00:29:34.365
and if you could please bring up, uh,
548
00:29:34.705 --> 00:29:37.205
design plan rep 1 0 1 9,
549
00:29:41.225 --> 00:29:44.075
um, so on.
5 5 0
00:29:44.175 --> 00:29:47.635
And also the photo montages, which is a PP 1 27,
551
00:29:49.465 --> 00:29:53.205
the photo montages show a nutrient harvesting tower.
52
00:29:54.675 --> 00:29:57.455
Um, but I'm unsure which element on
```

```
553
00:29:57.455 --> 00:29:58.535
the design plans that is.
554
00:29:59.195 --> 00:30:01.575
If you would be able to just point that out to me please.
555
00:30:20.315 --> 00:30:23.375
So it's on PM photo montage three. It's shown
556
00:30:26.615 --> 00:30:29.395
And it's labeled but slightly behind a tree.
557
00:30:30.415 --> 00:30:31.435
That's the one, yes.
558
00:30:41.345 --> 00:30:42.535
Sorry, I can't see that.
559
00:30:42.535 --> 00:30:44.615
It's, that particular tall structure is
5 6 0
00:30:44.615 --> 00:30:46.095
annotated on the design plan.
561
00:30:46.095 --> 00:30:49.775
So I'm unclear which element on the design plan
5 6 2
00:30:50.515 --> 00:30:52.575
for the wastewater stream plant that element is.
563
00:31:02.455 --> 00:31:05.995
So sir, the, the nutrient harvesting, um, tower is,
5 6 4
00:31:06.495 --> 00:31:10.355
is part of the optional, um, uh,
5 6 5
00:31:10.395 --> 00:31:12.875
elements associated with the liquor treatment plant,
566
00:31:12.965 --> 00:31:14.795
```

which is work number.
567
00:31:18.275 --> 00:31:20.455
So on the, on the screen screen there, that's right.
568
00:31:20.475 --> 00:31:24.425
If you just scroll down a bit to the photo montage.
569
00:31:25.955 --> 00:31:27.495
So in respect to that option,
570
00:31:27.495 --> 00:31:29.255
it has been included as the worst case.
571
00:31:30.035 --> 00:31:33.415
Um, I'm sorry, I don't have the work number to hand.
572
00:31:46.245 --> 00:31:47.655
Yeah. Pass to the sludge treatment center.
573
00:31:47.675 --> 00:31:49.055
So work number eight.
574
00:31:50.235 --> 00:31:54.735
Yep. So part of the sludge streamer center.
575
00:31:54.755 --> 00:31:58.655
So could you show me on the design plan, which element is
576
00:31:59.165 --> 00:32:00.335
that represents, please?
577
00:32:00.985 --> 00:32:02.615
Which is rep 1019.
578
00:32:06.365 --> 00:32:08.855
I'll ask, uh, Mr. Dexter to just point this out for you.
579
00:32:44.125 --> 00:32:47.905
Andrew Pplicant, um, if you see on the right hand

```
580
00:32:47.905 --> 00:32:49.945
of this screen, there's a, uh, a
51
00:32:50.685 --> 00:32:54.145
tag showing liquor treatment plant, um,
52
00:32:56.685 --> 00:32:59.625
uh, so it's about three o'clock on
5 8 3
00:32:59.625 --> 00:33:01.865
that, on on those.
584
00:33:01.965 --> 00:33:05.105
Um, that is where that, uh,
58
00:33:05.545 --> 00:33:06.825
nutrient harvesting tower would be
586
00:33:08.005 --> 00:33:09.005
Look Handling. Look,
587
00:33:09.005 --> 00:33:10.655
look, look handling. Yeah,
58
00:33:11.935 --> 00:33:12.935
You have a mouse. If you
5 8 9
00:33:12.935 --> 00:33:14.355
could just hover the mouse over it, please.
590
00:33:30.035 --> 00:33:33.735
Is it the kind of square? Yes. Okay. So it'd be that
591
00:33:37.955 --> 00:33:40.895
And, and as stated in the, in the parameters, um, that,
592
00:33:40.895 --> 00:33:43.455
that is, is a, is a worst case.
593
00:33:44.165 --> 00:33:45.215
```

Okay. That's been included for
594
00:33:45.215 --> 00:33:46.255
the purposes of visual assessment.
595
00:33:47.005 --> 00:33:50.015
Okay. And in the development consent order, where is,
596
00:33:50.585 --> 00:33:53.335
where is the parameters for that toilet structure,
597
00:33:53.545 --> 00:33:55.415
which schedules that in?
598
00:33:57.335 --> 00:33:58.735
I think Mr. May's just going to
599
00:33:59.245 --> 00:34:00.655
pull this out for you, sir.
600
00:34:49.705 --> 00:34:50.885
So it's part, part 13,
601
00:34:50.885 --> 00:34:52.765
server liquor treatment, plant parameters.
602
00:35:03.085 --> 00:35:05.145
Uh, which element is that? Is that the re is it the,
603
00:35:07.015 --> 00:35:08.015
So, so, sorry. Apologies.
604
00:35:08.015 --> 00:35:10.995
It's part 14, the, the nutrient recovery option.
605
00:35:17.055 --> 00:35:19.145
Okay. So that total element is the
606
00:35:19.945 --> 00:35:22.225
stripping scrubbing column. Correct,

607
00:35:22.285 --> 00:35:23.285
Sir. Right. Okay.
608
00:35:23.285 --> 00:35:26.025
So the nutrient harvesting tower on the
609
00:35:26.025 --> 00:35:29.685
photomontage is the stripping scrub part
610
00:35:30.005 --> 00:35:30.725
14. Okay.

611
00:35:31.305 --> 00:35:36.285
And that's 27 meters, a OD? Yes, sir. That's Mr. Dexter
612
00:35:36.285 --> 00:35:37.285
Confirms that. Okay,
613
00:35:37.285 --> 00:35:41.915
thank you. Um, moving on then
614
00:35:41.915 --> 00:35:44.355
to the appropriateness and adequacy of measures relating
615
00:35:44.355 --> 00:35:45.475
to the bund planting.
616
00:35:46.135 --> 00:35:47.955
So numerous concerns have been raised,
617
00:35:47.955 --> 00:35:51.155
including from South Cambridge District Council,
618
00:35:51.215 --> 00:35:55.885
around the likely success of planting on top of the bun, um,
619
00:35:55.965 --> 00:35:57.845
primarily due to the dryness of the area
620
00:35:57.905 --> 00:36:00.325
and the potential need for watering in perpetuity.
621
00:36:01.795 --> 00:36:04.965
What assurances can the XA have that planting would survive,
622
00:36:06.145 --> 00:36:08.125
and if constant watering is needed,
623
00:36:08.825 --> 00:36:11.405
how would this represent a sustainable design solution?
624
00:36:13.775 --> 00:36:18.725
Joe Morrison for the applicant, um, Set out in the lamp
625
00:36:19.545 --> 00:36:21.325
is a lamp as the, um,
626
00:36:21.465 --> 00:36:25.045
and also in the soil management plan is the, uh, are sort
627
00:36:25.045 --> 00:36:28.085
of the elements that are needed to create a,
628
00:36:28.325 --> 00:36:32.525
a growing medium for these treason shrubs on the Earth bank
629
00:36:33.345 --> 00:36:35.805
to, to, to make sure that they succeed.
630
00:36:36.385 --> 00:36:39.165
So, um, the lump, first of all, oh, sorry.
631
00:36:39.165 --> 00:36:41.885
The soil management plan, first of all, um, sets out
632
00:36:41.945 --> 00:36:43.325
how the soils on the site,
633
00:36:43.325 --> 00:36:45.765
the existing soils on the site will be stripped, um,

```
6 3 4
00:36:45.825 --> 00:36:48.565
and will be carefully stored, conserve their
6 3 5
00:36:49.235 --> 00:36:50.365
fertility and structure.
6 3 6
00:36:51.225 --> 00:36:53.765
Um, the lump then shows in the length,
6 3 7
00:36:53.765 --> 00:36:56.965
there are cross sections that show the angle of the, um,
6 3 8
00:36:56.965 --> 00:36:59.445
earth Bank, which is flat at the top.
6 3 9
00:36:59.515 --> 00:37:02.605
It's got a six meter wide flat, uh, the, the top
6 4 0
00:37:02.605 --> 00:37:04.445
of the bank all the way around, um,
6 4 1
00:37:04.865 --> 00:37:07.405
and then quite gentle slopes on the outside slope.
642
00:37:07.405 --> 00:37:10.965
So they're up to one, between one in 2.5 slope
6 4 3
00:37:10.985 --> 00:37:12.005
and one in five.
644
00:37:12.905 --> 00:37:14.085
Um, so, but,
6 4 5
00:37:14.265 --> 00:37:18.005
but they tend to be more in the flatter rather than steeper.
646
00:37:18.625 --> 00:37:22.325
Um, so first of all, the point to make is that
647
00:37:22.325 --> 00:37:24.045
```

```
therefore that there is sufficient soil
648
00:37:24.145 --> 00:37:25.525
and the soil will be good enough quality
649
00:37:25.705 --> 00:37:26.765
and it will be detailed
650
00:37:26.985 --> 00:37:30.485
to create a good growing medium on the bank.
651
00:37:31.265 --> 00:37:35.085
Um, and you know, the brief further detail on the sort
652
00:37:35.085 --> 00:37:37.845
of preparation of soils, the preparation of the sub soils,
653
00:37:38.265 --> 00:37:41.165
um, but also that that, that
654
00:37:41.275 --> 00:37:43.765
what rain there is will fall on the bank
655
00:37:43.765 --> 00:37:44.925
and some of it will stay on the bank.
656
00:37:44.925 --> 00:37:47.725
So it's not a steep structure with a steep top, you know,
657
00:37:47.725 --> 00:37:50.685
with a pointed top where every, all the water sheds off,
658
00:37:50.745 --> 00:37:53.525
it will actually retain some of the rain water.
659
00:37:54.025 --> 00:37:56.805
But we obviously are aware that Cambridge is very dry
660
00:37:56.865 --> 00:37:57.885
and it's getting drier.
```

```
6 6 1
00:37:58.385 --> 00:38:01.925
Um, so the lump sets out, uh,
62
00:38:01.925 --> 00:38:03.365
quite a lot of detail on planting.
6 6 3
00:38:03.465 --> 00:38:06.245
So for example, we've proposed the planting season is
664
00:38:06.245 --> 00:38:09.085
shortened, so it goes from November to February,
665
00:38:09.155 --> 00:38:10.845
whereas the usual planting season will
666
00:38:10.845 --> 00:38:11.925
be November to late March.
667
00:38:12.465 --> 00:38:14.125
And that is to take into account of the fact
668
00:38:14.125 --> 00:38:15.485
that spring is starting earlier now,
6 6 9
00:38:15.485 --> 00:38:18.805
and we often have dry springs, uh, in, in East Anglia.
6 7 0
00:38:19.425 --> 00:38:23.405
Um, the species selected, um, again,
6 7 1
00:38:23.665 --> 00:38:26.965
our species which grow, grow locally
672
00:38:27.265 --> 00:38:31.005
and will tolerate obviously not total drought,
6 7 3
00:38:31.065 --> 00:38:32.285
but will tolerate some drought.
6 7 4
00:38:32.585 --> 00:38:35.300
```

```
But the idea is that if you create a good growing medium,
6 7 5
00:38:36.105 --> 00:38:37.565
um, and you look, look
6 7 6
00:38:37.565 --> 00:38:39.765
after the plants for the first five years, so
6 7 7
00:38:39.765 --> 00:38:41.765
that they are watered for the first five years,
6 7 8
00:38:41.995 --> 00:38:44.965
they should get a sufficiently good root system to be able
6 7 9
00:38:44.965 --> 00:38:46.605
to withstand seasonal droughts.
6 8 0
00:38:48.655 --> 00:38:51.315
Um, and then of course, because all the planting on the,
6 8 1
00:38:51.695 --> 00:38:56.075
on it, on the landscape master plan is included in the BNG
62
00:38:56.075 --> 00:38:59.235
calculations, um, it has to be maintained for 30 years.
6 8 3
00:38:59.855 --> 00:39:04.515
And, um, so there's a, you know, an onus on the applicant
6 8 4
00:39:04.655 --> 00:39:06.795
to make sure that that planting does work.
6 8 5
00:39:07.785 --> 00:39:09.195
Okay, thank you. And do you envisage
6 8 6
00:39:09.195 --> 00:39:11.475
that it would need additional watering for
6 8 7
00:39:12.065 --> 00:39:13.355
that 30 year period?
```

```
6 8 8
00:39:14.075 --> 00:39:16.915
I don't think it, you would water for 30 years.
6 8 9
00:39:17.035 --> 00:39:18.355
I think you would water for five years
6 9 0
00:39:18.415 --> 00:39:20.915
and by then a, a tree, you know, if it's,
6 9 1
00:39:20.915 --> 00:39:22.795
if it's established and it's got it, it,
6 9 2
00:39:22.795 --> 00:39:25.155
that gives us a long enough time to get a good root system.
6 9 3
00:39:25.735 --> 00:39:29.435
Um, and you, if plants fail in the early days
6 9 4
00:39:29.535 --> 00:39:32.755
and say particular species, this is in the lump as well, um,
6 9 5
00:39:33.445 --> 00:39:35.595
don't succeed, then probably, you know,
6 9 6
00:39:35.925 --> 00:39:37.395
you'd look at the replacement
6 9 7
00:39:37.395 --> 00:39:38.915
and perhaps replace with a different species,
6 9 8
00:39:38.915 --> 00:39:40.435
which does, does do better.
6 9 9
00:39:41.255 --> 00:39:43.515
But by then if there's a good growing medium,
70
00:39:44.075 --> 00:39:45.555
sufficient depths of top soil and subsoil,
7 0 1
00:39:45.745 --> 00:39:47.875
```

then the tree should have, and,
702
00:39:47.875 --> 00:39:50.755
and shrubs on top of the land should have sufficient root
703
00:39:50.755 --> 00:39:51.795
system to be able to tolerate
704
00:39:52.585 --> 00:39:53.585
Drought. Okay.
705
00:39:53.585 --> 00:39:56.195
And to what extent is it known whether the soils
706
00:39:56.975 --> 00:39:58.635
to be used in the bund would be suitable
707
00:39:58.775 --> 00:40:00.275
for the planting as proposed?
708
00:40:02.505 --> 00:40:03.795
Well, they're being stripped off.
709
00:40:03.825 --> 00:40:05.875
What is arable farmland at the moment?
710
00:40:06.495 --> 00:40:10.395
The Soil management plan, um, includes in its provision for
711
00:40:11.075 --> 00:40:13.355
identifying, well, it, it already has, uh,
712
00:40:13.715 --> 00:40:16.875
identifies the different types of soil around the site so
713
00:40:16.875 --> 00:40:19.155
that the best soil would be used on the, where,
714
00:40:19.155 --> 00:40:20.595
where there's tree planting in woodland

715
00:40:20.595 --> 00:40:21.675
and on the ma on the land,
716
00:40:21.825 --> 00:40:24.635
then the poorer soils will be used on the slopes
717
00:40:24.635 --> 00:40:27.755
of the Earth Bank where there's going to be grassland,
718
00:40:27.755 --> 00:40:29.675
where you actually positively don't
719
00:40:29.675 --> 00:40:30.755
want particularly fertile.
720
00:40:30.775 --> 00:40:31.775
So.
721
00:40:32.765 --> 00:40:34.575
Okay, thank you. And if I could ask South Cambridge
722
00:40:34.935 --> 00:40:36.735
district council, um,
723
00:40:37.835 --> 00:40:41.695
why they have particular concerns having regards to
724
00:40:41.695 --> 00:40:43.055
what the applicant has just responded?
725
00:40:45.475 --> 00:40:47.235
I ban al zone for South cams.
726
00:40:47.335 --> 00:40:51.755
Um, I, I, I, I appreciate all the steps
727
00:40:51.985 --> 00:40:54.875
that the applicant has taken for this assurance,
728
00:40:55.375 --> 00:40:59.395

```
but, um, our, our experience of planting
7 2 9
00:40:59.825 --> 00:41:03.875
atop buns of almost any scale is that
7 3 0
00:41:04.605 --> 00:41:07.715
trees really do not thrive atop them.
7 3 1
00:41:08.055 --> 00:41:12.475
Um, and whilst it may be a case that you take care
7 3 2
00:41:12.475 --> 00:41:15.395
of the trees through establishment of five years,
7 3 3
00:41:15.405 --> 00:41:17.515
which I agree with, um,
7 3 4
00:41:17.625 --> 00:41:22.195
because of their placement on the bond, um, rainwater, the,
7 3 5
00:41:22.215 --> 00:41:24.875
the, the smaller amounts of rainwater that you would get
7 3 6
00:41:24.875 --> 00:41:28.395
through the summer, um, will not have a chance
7 3 7
00:41:28.455 --> 00:41:31.875
to be retained within the soil like it does at ground level.
7 3 8
00:41:32.575 --> 00:41:35.205
And therefore these trees are gonna be subjected to
7 3 9
00:41:36.215 --> 00:41:40.165
drier soils for much longer than a standard
7 4 0
00:41:40.875 --> 00:41:42.365
landscape would in a drought.
7 4 1
00:41:43.265 --> 00:41:47.725
Um, so I do believe that there will have to be some element
```

```
742
00:41:47.785 --> 00:41:50.765
of supplementary watering during drought periods
743
00:41:50.765 --> 00:41:52.165
for the trees atop the bun.
744
00:41:52.905 --> 00:41:56.005
And that was where the question as to the sustainability of
745
00:41:56.005 --> 00:41:58.165
that particular method came in.
746
00:42:01.685 --> 00:42:03.055
Okay. Are there, are there any measures
7 4 7
00:42:03.055 --> 00:42:05.815
that could be employed which would assist with retaining
748
00:42:06.455 --> 00:42:10.985
moisture in the bun IE making it less porous, for example?
749
00:42:12.655 --> 00:42:16.545
That hasn't been dis Sorry, is that aimed at me?
750
00:42:17.165 --> 00:42:18.665
Uh, it was aimed at you, yes,
751
00:42:18.665 --> 00:42:20.265
but I'm, I'm happy to use your answer first
752
00:42:20.265 --> 00:42:21.265
and then we'll go to the applicant.
753
00:42:22.725 --> 00:42:24.505
Um, that hasn't been discussed.
754
00:42:24.805 --> 00:42:29.685
And, um, there are opportunities for, for using
755
00:42:30.645 --> 00:42:33.885
```

```
moisture, retaining soils, adding, um, mulch
756
00:42:33.985 --> 00:42:37.165
and so on, um, which can assist.
757
00:42:37.185 --> 00:42:39.285
But again, it's, it's going to, it's something
758
00:42:39.285 --> 00:42:42.125
that would have to be, um, reviewed
759
00:42:42.225 --> 00:42:44.725
and monitored throughout the lifespan of the trees.
7 6 0
00:42:45.225 --> 00:42:48.125
Uh, it's not just something for the establishment period.
7 6 1
00:42:50.095 --> 00:42:53.385
Okay. And the applicant mentioned that five years
762
00:42:53.445 --> 00:42:54.465
of establishments
7 6 3
00:42:54.465 --> 00:42:57.145
and watering would result in a reasonable root
7 6 4
00:42:57.145 --> 00:42:58.545
system for the trees.
7 6 5
00:42:59.045 --> 00:43:03.835
Um, do you think that
7 6 6
00:43:03.835 --> 00:43:05.075
that would, would not happen
7 6 7
00:43:05.335 --> 00:43:07.875
or do you think they would still need
7 6 8
00:43:07.875 --> 00:43:09.075
watering after that period?
```

```
7 6 9
00:43:10.835 --> 00:43:13.235
I, yes, I think they would establish fine,
7 7 0
00:43:13.295 --> 00:43:15.115
but they would still require watering
7 7 1
00:43:15.115 --> 00:43:17.875
during particularly drought ridden periods,
72
00:43:18.215 --> 00:43:19.275
not, not throughout the year.
7 7 3
00:43:20.725 --> 00:43:23.055
Okay. But the applicant's not proposing watering
7 7 4
00:43:23.055 --> 00:43:24.135
them after that period.
7 7 5
00:43:24.235 --> 00:43:27.975
So this seems to be an issue, which is, is fairly
776
00:43:29.015 --> 00:43:33.635
single 'cause the, so the trees, yeah, the, the,
7 7 7
00:43:33.735 --> 00:43:36.395
you know, the assessments rely on the success
7 7 8
00:43:36.395 --> 00:43:38.355
of the planting in order to mitigate the
7 7 9
00:43:38.355 --> 00:43:39.595
landscape and visual effects.
780
00:43:40.135 --> 00:43:42.715
So I wa I wonder if I may, Andrew, prior to the applicant,
7 8 1
00:43:42.715 --> 00:43:44.115
just provide a little bit of context here.
7 8 2
00:43:44.195 --> 00:43:47.835
```

I think rightly the local authorities are concerned about
783
00:43:48.035 --> 00:43:49.835
planting on embankments because of the poor history
784
00:43:49.835 --> 00:43:54.115
of highways, agency highways, England, uh, planting,
785
00:43:54.785 --> 00:43:56.635
this is a very different situation here.
786
00:43:56.635 --> 00:43:59.315
There's soil management through the soil management plan,
787
00:43:59.385 --> 00:44:01.595
there's the ability to water during
788
00:44:01.595 --> 00:44:02.715
that establishment period,
789
00:44:02.715 --> 00:44:04.355
which is not possible on highways.
790
00:44:04.975 --> 00:44:08.395
And this embankment is, has a broad flat top.
791
00:44:08.895 --> 00:44:09.915
It is, it is not the same
792
00:44:09.915 --> 00:44:12.435
as a steep highways embankment to that extent.
793
00:44:12.895 --> 00:44:15.875
Our ecological advisors, our landscape advisors believe
794
00:44:15.875 --> 00:44:20.075
that the trees will establish and establish well, um,
795
00:44:20.335 --> 00:44:22.075
and will have sufficient water once

```
796
00:44:22.075 --> 00:44:24.115
that root system is has established.
7 9 7
00:44:24.295 --> 00:44:27.675
Um, we can provide more evidence on that,
798
00:44:27.775 --> 00:44:30.355
but there are substantial examples
7 9 9
00:44:30.355 --> 00:44:32.885
of planting on well tended embankments that, that,
800
00:44:32.995 --> 00:44:34.005
that show, that's the case.
801
00:44:34.385 --> 00:44:37.445
The council also has the added protection air of helping
802
00:44:37.545 --> 00:44:40.125
to choose drought resistant species as part of the lump,
803
00:44:40.585 --> 00:44:42.445
and we're required to deliver those trees
804
00:44:42.445 --> 00:44:43.645
over a 30 year period.
805
00:44:44.405 --> 00:44:49.165
I don't think, um, we really, um, agree
806
00:44:49.165 --> 00:44:50.245
with the characterization
807
00:44:50.245 --> 00:44:52.125
that these trees will die after five years.
808
00:44:53.105 --> 00:44:57.005
We will, you know, we've made very detailed efforts
809
00:44:57.025 --> 00:44:58.845
```

```
to make sure that they will establish properly.
810
00:44:59.275 --> 00:45:01.845
Okay. So if you, when you say there's plenty of examples,
811
00:45:02.775 --> 00:45:03.965
would you be able to provide those,
812
00:45:04.205 --> 00:45:05.405
a few of those examples, please,
813
00:45:05.505 --> 00:45:06.505
Sir? Yes, you can look on
814
00:45:06.505 --> 00:45:07.525
plenty of chalk down
815
00:45:07.545 --> 00:45:09.245
and to see very steep slopes which,
816
00:45:09.255 --> 00:45:10.885
which mature trees are established on.
817
00:45:11.185 --> 00:45:14.645
So, um, there are plenty of planting on steep slopes
818
00:45:15.305 --> 00:45:16.765
around the country and we'll, we'll,
819
00:45:16.765 --> 00:45:17.885
we'll find some examples of that.
820
00:45:17.905 --> 00:45:19.725
But this is about species selection
821
00:45:19.725 --> 00:45:21.325
and establishing the root structure. Okay.
822
00:45:21.325 --> 00:45:23.965
Are there any examples of artificially created
```

```
823
00:45:25.205 --> 00:45:28.565
landscapes rather than natural landscapes where this occurs?
824
00:45:28.705 --> 00:45:29.845
We, we will have a look, sir.
825
00:45:30.875 --> 00:45:34.415
Okay, thank you. Um, also
826
00:45:34.475 --> 00:45:36.135
for South Cambridge District Council,
827
00:45:37.285 --> 00:45:41.815
your local impact report, paragraph 8.46, refers
828
00:45:41.815 --> 00:45:42.815
of alternative measures
829
00:45:42.815 --> 00:45:45.375
to be employed should vegetation fail to establish
830
00:45:45.435 --> 00:45:48.575
and thrive, which could be addressed through a landscape,
831
00:45:48.615 --> 00:45:49.615
a mitigation strategy.
832
00:45:50.565 --> 00:45:52.575
What alternative measures are you
833
00:45:52.575 --> 00:45:53.855
referring to in that paragraph?
834
00:45:56.665 --> 00:46:00.125
I'm referring to supplemental watering primarily, um,
835
00:46:00.305 --> 00:46:02.605
and perhaps species, um, change,
836
00:46:08.475 --> 00:46:10.425
```

```
Sorry, what was the last part of that answer?
837
00:46:11.045 --> 00:46:12.105
Uh, change to species.
838
00:46:13.045 --> 00:46:16.345
Um, and and can I, can I respond to the previous, uh,
839
00:46:16.345 --> 00:46:18.185
comment from, from the applicant?
840
00:46:18.645 --> 00:46:22.225
Yes. In terms of examples, uh, sorry. Thank you.
841
00:46:22.365 --> 00:46:25.025
Um, in terms of examples of of,
842
00:46:25.245 --> 00:46:29.265
of trees growing on top buns, I would, um,
843
00:46:30.285 --> 00:46:33.415
care to remind them this region is the driest in England.
844
00:46:33.435 --> 00:46:36.535
And whilst trees may succeed in other parts
845
00:46:36.555 --> 00:46:38.335
of England on steep slopes,
846
00:46:38.425 --> 00:46:40.815
which I completely agree has happened,
847
00:46:41.395 --> 00:46:43.575
it doesn't particularly happen very well around here.
848
00:46:44.115 --> 00:46:47.735
And it's not just the example of the highways, um,
849
00:46:47.865 --> 00:46:51.695
experience that we've had, which makes us leery of, um,
```

```
850
00:46:51.865 --> 00:46:52.895
trees on buns.
851
00:46:52.915 --> 00:46:56.055
It is, it is happening throughout, um,
852
00:46:56.915 --> 00:46:59.095
at housing developments of all scales.
853
00:46:59.595 --> 00:47:01.975
Uh, you know, we do, we do have a problem
854
00:47:02.365 --> 00:47:06.095
with trees not surviving the droughts without maintenance.
855
00:47:08.395 --> 00:47:09.445
Okay, thank you. Would
856
00:47:09.445 --> 00:47:10.605
applicant would like to respond to that?
857
00:47:11.945 --> 00:47:15.125
Um, well just to say that o on, on devil's d**e
858
00:47:15.125 --> 00:47:17.965
and flee d**e, they, there is vegetation all the way along
859
00:47:17.965 --> 00:47:19.205
and actually the, you know,
860
00:47:19.365 --> 00:47:20.645
wildlife just have to clear it off.
861
00:47:21.145 --> 00:47:24.325
So, and they are probably don't have very thick soil.
862
00:47:24.405 --> 00:47:25.485
They're chalk banks.
863
00:47:26.225 --> 00:47:28.565
```

```
Um, and the other thing, you know, it's very hard
864
00:47:28.585 --> 00:47:32.165
to know if the maintenance hasn't been done correctly
865
00:47:32.165 --> 00:47:34.325
and the watering there hasn't been watering the first five
866
00:47:34.325 --> 00:47:36.685
years, which on very many schemes it doesn't happen.
867
00:47:37.345 --> 00:47:39.485
And the soil preparation isn't very good.
868
00:47:39.755 --> 00:47:43.845
Then inevitably, um, uh, planting won't establish, um,
869
00:47:44.745 --> 00:47:47.045
and also slight anxiety about watering for too long
870
00:47:47.045 --> 00:47:48.085
because you don't want
871
00:47:48.085 --> 00:47:49.725
to encourage trees to have shallow roots.
872
00:47:49.745 --> 00:47:52.245
You want them to get their roots down deeper.
873
00:47:52.905 --> 00:47:56.365
And I think if you, um, water too much, then
874
00:47:57.085 --> 00:47:58.525
actually you end up with rather shallow roots
875
00:47:58.985 --> 00:48:01.125
of shallow root systems is the problem I
876
00:48:01.125 --> 00:48:03.565
have encountered on projects. Well, we've water a lot.
```

877
00:48:04.265 --> 00:48:05.265
That's Okay. I Wonder
878
00:48:05.265 --> 00:48:07.085
if, just add one further point is
879
00:48:07.085 --> 00:48:10.565
that the species selections have been agreed with
880
00:48:11.225 --> 00:48:12.645
the ecological stakeholders
881
00:48:12.825 --> 00:48:14.685
for drought tolerance and resistance.
882
00:48:14.685 --> 00:48:19.325
That $l$ has been developed with both county and and district
883
00:48:19.705 --> 00:48:21.085
and with natural England.
884
00:48:21.145 --> 00:48:25.925
So the selection of drought resistant species is, is part
885
00:48:25.925 --> 00:48:27.445
of the consideration already.
886
00:48:29.775 --> 00:48:33.305
Okay, thank you. Um, in that case, I'll move on
887
00:48:33.305 --> 00:48:34.905
to clarification for potential
888
00:48:35.445 --> 00:48:38.225
for effects from plumes stroke flares.
889
00:48:38.805 --> 00:48:42.345
Uh, your response to XQ one point 14 point 19
890
00:48:43.405 --> 00:48:45.705

```
states that plumes would not be readily visible
891
00:48:46.575 --> 00:48:49.945
from the proposed boiler stack under normal operation
892
00:48:51.055 --> 00:48:55.835
circumstances, um, or normal circumstances.
893
00:48:55.845 --> 00:48:57.835
Could you please clarify the terms readily visible
894
00:48:59.295 --> 00:49:03.035
and normal operation, stroke normal circumstances
895
00:49:03.815 --> 00:49:06.955
and set out under what circumstances plumes would be visible
896
00:49:12.225 --> 00:49:13.565
Joe Morrison for the applicant?
897
00:49:14.025 --> 00:49:17.125
Um, there won't be a plume. I've been, I've been assured
898
00:49:21.035 --> 00:49:22.035
Why is that?
899
00:49:22.475 --> 00:49:27.255
If there's a boiler tower, where does the moisture go?
900
00:49:27.275 --> 00:49:28.815
Why does it not come out the top of the tower?
901
00:49:31.105 --> 00:49:33.965
Uh, the, the boilers heating water for helping out the,
902
00:49:34.065 --> 00:49:37.445
uh, digesters digesters, um, goes back
903
00:49:37.445 --> 00:49:39.005
to why we have two digesters in there.
```

```
904
00:49:39.005 --> 00:49:42.285
They're an ecosystem that require heating care.
905
00:49:42.945 --> 00:49:45.685
Um, but yet the boilers are the, are there heating
906
00:49:45.685 --> 00:49:48.885
that element rather than necessarily creating for
907
00:49:49.985 --> 00:49:52.785
A, A boiler for a power generation say.
908
00:49:53.325 --> 00:49:54.825
So it's heat coming out the top.
909
00:49:55.845 --> 00:49:58.305
So the boiler stack, what, what comes out the top
910
00:49:58.305 --> 00:49:59.425
of the boiler stack is heat.
911
00:49:59.455 --> 00:50:02.105
Heat. So not, it's not vapor.
912
00:50:02.325 --> 00:50:04.385
No, we're, we're not, we're not creating a power engine
913
00:50:05.905 --> 00:50:07.625
creating steam for the okay.
914
00:50:07.655 --> 00:50:10.385
Digesters of the pro, the H-P-H-S-H-P-H process,
915
00:50:11.125 --> 00:50:13.745
the advanced anaerobic digesting process needs the steam in
916
00:50:13.745 --> 00:50:15.705
it rather than necessarily creating
917
00:50:15.755 --> 00:50:17.105
```

```
steam as a, as a product.
918
00:50:18.445 --> 00:50:20.185
So when you said it wouldn't be readily visible,
919
00:50:20.245 --> 00:50:22.505
it wouldn't be visible, there'd be no visibility
920
00:50:22.645 --> 00:50:23.705
at all of any plume
921
00:50:23.775 --> 00:50:24.775
Ever. It heats through the
922
00:50:24.775 --> 00:50:25.145
boilers
923
00:50:25.325 --> 00:50:28.645
and the flare stack is only there if we have, um,
924
00:50:29.085 --> 00:50:32.445
a safety issue within the, uh, gas management system
925
00:50:32.585 --> 00:50:33.925
or we have noncompliant gas,
926
00:50:33.935 --> 00:50:35.845
which would likely be reprocessed.
927
00:50:35.905 --> 00:50:38.485
So, um, most of our sites aren't,
928
00:50:38.485 --> 00:50:40.965
shouldn't be flaring if we are, uh, either making power
929
00:50:41.305 --> 00:50:42.725
or injecting gas into the grid.
930
00:50:43.465 --> 00:50:47.245
Um, but again, that flare is encapsulated within a
```

```
931
00:50:47.575 --> 00:50:49.965
flare stack.
9 3 2
00:50:50.155 --> 00:50:52.485
Like, like it's not like you see on an oil rig
933
00:50:52.485 --> 00:50:55.045
where they're burning a a free flame.
934
00:50:56.235 --> 00:51:00.005
Okay. And in on that point, um, your response
935
00:51:00.065 --> 00:51:02.485
to EQ one point 14 point 19 states
936
00:51:02.485 --> 00:51:05.205
that the flare stack would be enclosed, as you say.
937
00:51:05.865 --> 00:51:08.805
So if flare would not be visible, where,
938
00:51:08.805 --> 00:51:11.525
where's the enclosure of the flare stack specified?
939
00:51:11.715 --> 00:51:15.845
Secured and is this taken into account in the overall height
9 4 0
00:51:16.105 --> 00:51:17.965
of the stack shown on the design plans
941
00:51:18.505 --> 00:51:20.165
and in the DCO parameter tables
942
00:51:21.145 --> 00:51:24.685
At White Dexter applicant, it's the, the height is catered
943
00:51:24.685 --> 00:51:27.365
for within the parameters and the photo montages.
944
00:51:27.945 --> 00:51:31.725
```

```
Um, the actual specifics of the flare sack,
945
00:51:31.945 --> 00:51:34.285
I'm not sure we've gone that far into the detail.
946
00:51:34.825 --> 00:51:35.725
Um, but it's, it's
947
00:51:35.725 --> 00:51:37.005
definitely something we could come back to on,
948
00:51:37.845 --> 00:51:39.725
I think it'd be helpful 'cause if you're saying it would
949
00:51:39.725 --> 00:51:42.845
be an enclosed flare and there's nowhere that
950
00:51:43.245 --> 00:51:46.085
A shield a shielded flare, just make, wanna make sure
951
00:51:46.085 --> 00:51:47.485
that I don't promise the can't diva.
952
00:51:47.485 --> 00:51:48.645
So it, it shielded,
953
00:51:48.735 --> 00:51:50.565
we're obviously not encapsulating the flare.
954
00:51:50.615 --> 00:51:51.615
It'll be an open top.
955
00:51:52.965 --> 00:51:54.945
So shielded flare, what, what would you see?
956
00:51:56.285 --> 00:51:57.385
Um, from a distance? You,
957
00:51:57.385 --> 00:51:59.105
you shouldn't see anything 'cause be heat coming off.
```

```
958
00:51:59.365 --> 00:52:01.905
Um, the flame will be within the shield.
959
00:52:02.935 --> 00:52:05.985
Okay. So where is it? So do you get, you get flare stacks
960
00:52:05.985 --> 00:52:07.865
that are unshielded and ones that are shielded,
961
00:52:07.865 --> 00:52:09.745
where is it specified?
962
00:52:10.145 --> 00:52:11.625
Anywhere that it would be shielded?
963
00:52:15.495 --> 00:52:17.575
The id? Yeah, apologies.
964
00:52:17.875 --> 00:52:21.415
Um, that we've, now I'm moving into the IED permits,
965
00:52:21.515 --> 00:52:23.615
so it'll be specified within the ID permit
966
00:52:23.965 --> 00:52:25.295
from an emissions perspective.
967
00:52:27.035 --> 00:52:31.245
Okay, thank you. If,
968
00:52:32.975 --> 00:52:35.555
If so, which we can place this within the design code,
969
00:52:35.555 --> 00:52:37.555
it's could be submitted at deadline four.
970
00:52:37.855 --> 00:52:40.355
Um, it's aligned with the current design.
971
00:52:40.415 --> 00:52:43.035
```

```
So we're comfortable to, to commit to that
972
00:52:43.035 --> 00:52:44.915
and secure it through design code.
973
00:52:45.795 --> 00:52:47.555
I think it would be helpful. Thank you. No
974
00:52:47.555 --> 00:52:48.555
Problem.
975
00:52:49.095 --> 00:52:50.675
Um, moving on to tree protection
976
00:52:50.815 --> 00:52:53.875
and replacement matters, um,
977
00:52:53.955 --> 00:52:57.155
I think I had a question about the woodland, um,
978
00:52:57.375 --> 00:52:58.835
saying some woodland was affected,
979
00:52:59.495 --> 00:53:01.275
but for example, the impact assessment
980
00:53:01.275 --> 00:53:02.755
table say they're not.
981
00:53:03.095 --> 00:53:07.195
Um, I think that answer that was answered earlier on, um,
982
00:53:10.825 --> 00:53:12.305
I did have a couple of questions about the
983
00:53:13.045 --> 00:53:14.465
ABO agricultural impact assessment,
984
00:53:18.955 --> 00:53:23.945
which was, so for example on rep 1 0 3 5,
```

```
985
00:53:24.245 --> 00:53:26.125
if you could please bring that up.
986
00:53:55.185 --> 00:53:59.045
So if you go to, for example, PDF page 69
987
00:54:04.005 --> 00:54:05.345
and if you could zoom out a little bit.
988
00:54:12.755 --> 00:54:17.325
Okay. So we can see there's pink
989
00:54:17.845 --> 00:54:19.205
polygons around some of the trees.
9 9 0
00:54:20.385 --> 00:54:23.285
Um, I'm just wondering what those, what those represent.
991
00:54:24.855 --> 00:54:26.225
They don't appear to be in the key
992
00:54:27.125 --> 00:54:28.625
if you scroll down a little bit.
993
00:54:41.615 --> 00:54:43.715
So I think we will have to provide
994
00:54:43.715 --> 00:54:45.235
that clarification to you.
995
00:54:45.335 --> 00:54:48.715
Um, we, we don't have anyone from that team. Okay.
996
00:54:48.735 --> 00:54:52.795
And if you just zoom into T 0 7 6, for example,
997
00:54:53.365 --> 00:54:56.835
which is on the, at the top of the north south.
998
00:54:57.015 --> 00:54:58.915
```

Yep. So if you
999
00:55:00.785 --> 00:55:02.795
It's on the Yeah, that's what,
1000
00:55:02.795 --> 00:55:06.355
that's the one in the middle there, sorry, T oh seven three.
1001
00:55:06.375 --> 00:55:08.875
It is, yep. So that shows
1002
00:55:10.355 --> 00:55:12.145
protective fencing around the tree,
1003
00:55:14.705 --> 00:55:19.235
but it's over the top of a, uh,
1004
00:55:19.625 --> 00:55:21.075
open trenched area.
1005
00:55:21.375 --> 00:55:24.995
So I am just wondering how that
1006
00:55:25.845 --> 00:55:27.035
would work in practice.
1007
00:55:29.105 --> 00:55:30.765
Uh, thank you for joining that to our attention.
1008
00:55:30.825 --> 00:55:33.525
So I mean, I think these are probably root protection zones,
1009
00:55:33.525 --> 00:55:35.765
but it would be somewhat perverse for trenched to go through
1010
00:55:35.765 --> 00:55:36.845
that root protection zone.
1011
00:55:36.985 --> 00:55:38.445
So we'll clarify that.

```
1012
00:55:39.235 --> 00:55:40.685
Okay, thank you. And if you just go
1013
00:55:40.685 --> 00:55:43.765
to T oh seven six on the same plan, which is kind of
1014
00:55:43.765 --> 00:55:45.325
to the southwest.
1015
00:55:46.145 --> 00:55:47.725
Yes, that's the one in the corner
1016
00:55:50.185 --> 00:55:52.565
and it's, it's showing, I think the yellow is showing true
1017
00:55:52.565 --> 00:55:53.645
protection fencing.
1018
00:55:54.425 --> 00:55:56.925
Um, I'm just wondering how that works in practice again,
1019
00:55:56.995 --> 00:56:01.185
when that appears to be an access access road
1020
00:56:01.405 --> 00:56:02.785
to enable you to
1021
00:56:05.085 --> 00:56:06.925
construct the water beach pipeline element.
1022
00:56:09.425 --> 00:56:11.775
Thank you sir. Again, we'll, we'll revert on that one.
1023
00:56:15.995 --> 00:56:18.605
Okay, thank you. And I'm wondering
1024
00:56:18.845 --> 00:56:19.885
where provision is made.
1025
00:56:19.905 --> 00:56:24.885
```

So your hedges will be, some hedges will be affected as the
1026
00:56:25.895 --> 00:56:29.045
water speech pipelines constructed due to open trenching.
1027
00:56:30.415 --> 00:56:32.515
Can you tell me where it's, where provisions made
1028
00:56:32.515 --> 00:56:36.595
for the replacement and maintenance of landscape features
1029
00:56:36.595 --> 00:56:38.635
outside of the main wastewater treatment plant?
1030
00:56:39.455 --> 00:56:43.975
Um, in terms of their placement and maintenance?
1031
00:56:47.565 --> 00:56:51.485
'cause the lump only relates
1032
00:56:51.945 --> 00:56:54.485
to the main wastewater treatment plant site.
1033
00:56:55.425 --> 00:56:56.805
Uh, Joe Morrison for the applicant?
1034
00:56:57.065 --> 00:56:59.365
Yes, it's in the COCP, part A.
1035
00:57:02.415 --> 00:57:04.875
Can you give me a page number or paragraph
1036
00:57:05.025 --> 00:57:06.595
Section 7.2.
1037
00:57:07.725 --> 00:57:10.395
Thank you. Could you take,
1038
00:57:10.395 --> 00:57:11.835
could you take me to that please?

```
1039
00:57:13.705 --> 00:57:13.925
Uh,
1040
00:57:38.655 --> 00:57:39.795
Excuse me one moment, sir.
1041
00:57:39.795 --> 00:57:40.915
We're just finding the document.
1042
00:57:45.955 --> 00:57:46.635
COCP.
1043
00:57:57.005 --> 00:57:58.215
I've actually got it up now,
1044
00:58:03.825 --> 00:58:04.955
it's replacement.
1045
00:58:04.955 --> 00:58:05.955
Don't think it's,
1046
00:58:15.485 --> 00:58:16.485
Um, Sir,
1047
00:58:18.035 --> 00:58:19.035
Sorry, excuse me. Uh,
1048
00:58:19.035 --> 00:58:20.465
Andrew Private, the applicant sir.
1049
00:58:20.485 --> 00:58:23.065
Um, I, I have some highlighted text here which suggests
1050
00:58:23.065 --> 00:58:27.785
that the reinstatement provisions are 7 2 6 9 and 7 2 7 2.
1051
00:58:29.245 --> 00:58:30.545
But that those do relate
1052
00:58:30.545 --> 00:58:32.505
```

```
to habitat reinstatement, not specific.
```

1053
00:58:33.085 --> 00:58:37.145
Um, uh, features, landscape features such as, such as trees.
1054
00:58:59.485 --> 00:59:02.065
Um, so sorry
1055
00:59:02.085 --> 00:59:04.585
Joe Morrison for the applicant.
1056
00:59:04.595 --> 00:59:09.275
7.20 .71 .

1057
00:59:10.335 --> 00:59:12.955
Um, talks about hydroplaning, but not specifically trees.
1058
00:59:13.015 --> 00:59:16.715
Not trees. Is your microphone on please? Sorry. Thank you.
1059
00:59:20.905 --> 00:59:21.905
We Already said That.
1060
00:59:21.905 --> 00:59:22.565
So hedges
1061
00:59:22.565 --> 00:59:26.765
fall under, like the fall the habitats rather than
1062
00:59:27.405 --> 00:59:29.285
separately specified as hedges?
1063
00:59:31.685 --> 00:59:34.405
I think so. Um, 7 2, 68 suggests
1064
00:59:34.405 --> 00:59:35.405
that hedges would be dealt
1065
00:59:35.405 --> 00:59:37.325
with under the ly soil management plan.

```
1066
00:59:37.755 --> 00:59:39.525
Then there's reinstatement of habitats
1067
00:59:39.525 --> 00:59:40.805
that follows after that.
1068
00:59:40.825 --> 00:59:43.965
But, but I don't see any reference in there specifically
1069
00:59:43.965 --> 00:59:45.005
to replacement of trees.
1070
00:59:45.505 --> 00:59:47.685
My understanding is that no trees will be removed
1071
00:59:47.705 --> 00:59:49.765
and so there's no need to replace them. But we,
1072
00:59:49.815 --> 00:59:51.285
Apart from the one that we, just apart
1073
00:59:51.285 --> 00:59:52.285
From the one that is in the
1074
00:59:52.285 --> 00:59:53.645
protections, then a trench ship is,
1075
00:59:53.705 --> 00:59:54.705
That's the only one. That's
1076
00:59:54.705 --> 00:59:55.685
the only one that I've picked up on.
1077
00:59:55.685 --> 00:59:57.485
I've not, there may be others. We,
1078
00:59:57.485 --> 00:59:59.125
We will seek clarification on that.
1079
00:59:59.345 --> 01:00:02.485
```

Um, but I'm take your point that there seems
1080
01:00:02.485 --> 01:00:04.485
to be a large gap there when it comes to trees.
1081
01:00:04.715 --> 01:00:05.445
Okay, thank you.
1082
01:00:10.295 --> 01:00:12.835
Um, so consideration of the potential need
1083
01:00:12.835 --> 01:00:14.835
for formal design review.
1084
01:00:14.935 --> 01:00:17.475
You've set out what the design reviews process
1085
01:00:17.655 --> 01:00:19.355
so far has been, so thank you for that.
1086
01:00:20.335 --> 01:00:24.355
Um, the local planning authorities seem fairly keen for this
1087
01:00:24.355 --> 01:00:27.155
to continue to detail design.
1088
01:00:27.415 --> 01:00:29.275
Um, could the applicant please clarify why
1089
01:00:29.415 --> 01:00:30.595
it considers otherwise?
1090
01:00:36.285 --> 01:00:40.705
Um, so sir, for, for the majority of the works, um,
1091
01:00:41.445 --> 01:00:45.465
the, the DASS outlines, um, those,
1092
01:00:46.525 --> 01:00:48.865
the general approach to design, which is consistent

```
1093
01:00:48.865 --> 01:00:52.665
with other dcos, the inclusion of a design code would secure
1094
01:00:53.135 --> 01:00:54.505
that high quality design.
1095
01:00:55.085 --> 01:00:57.065
Um, and we can talk a little to
1096
01:00:57.065 --> 01:00:58.825
that on your next agenda point.
1097
01:00:59.325 --> 01:01:03.945
Um, in respect of I think two buildings of concern
1098
01:01:04.415 --> 01:01:06.905
with the local authority, we would be willing
1099
01:01:06.925 --> 01:01:09.065
to have more formal design review in respect
1100
01:01:09.065 --> 01:01:10.985
to the gateway building and the workshop.
1101
01:01:11.525 --> 01:01:15.385
But the rest of the um, uh, uh,
1102
01:01:15.925 --> 01:01:18.105
design really doesn't necessitate further
1103
01:01:18.175 --> 01:01:19.425
independent design review.
1104
01:01:19.425 --> 01:01:22.025
It's already been pretty heavily scrutinized.
1105
01:01:23.655 --> 01:01:25.265
Okay. Could I just ask South Cambridge
1106
01:01:25.465 --> 01:01:26.505
```

```
district council in that case?
1107
01:01:26.805 --> 01:01:30.945
Um, well we will come onto the design code bit and
1108
01:01:31.585 --> 01:01:33.425
'cause we, it's difficult
1109
01:01:33.545 --> 01:01:34.625
'cause I dunno what's actually going to be
1110
01:01:34.625 --> 01:01:35.745
in it at the moment.
1111
01:01:36.005 --> 01:01:40.025
But in terms of formal design review, um,
1112
01:01:40.035 --> 01:01:44.225
south Cmha did say that they do have experts also in their
1113
01:01:44.905 --> 01:01:47.545
planning department who would be able to deal with
1114
01:01:48.665 --> 01:01:49.825
detailed design, et cetera.
1115
01:01:49.965 --> 01:01:53.265
Is, do you still consider that detailed design review
1116
01:01:54.015 --> 01:01:56.065
more formally is, is necessary?
1117
01:01:56.535 --> 01:01:59.105
Well said that, I think the important thing here is
1118
01:01:59.105 --> 01:02:00.145
that there's a county council
1119
01:02:00.165 --> 01:02:01.745
who is the local plan authority.
```

1120
01:02:02.125 --> 01:02:04.385
So the district council accepts that it's,
1121
01:02:04.455 --> 01:02:05.745
it's going to be consultee.
1122
01:02:06.325 $\rightarrow$ 01:02:09.585
Um, uh, and, and my instruction is that it's question of

1123
01:02:11.085 --> 01:02:12.305
the district council, but
1124
01:02:12.305 --> 01:02:13.785
welcoming being part of that process.
1125
01:02:14.205 --> 01:02:15.905
But it, we don't put it any higher than that.
1126
01:02:16.345 --> 01:02:18.145
I I can just check to make sure
1127
01:02:18.145 --> 01:02:19.450
that I've understood that correctly.
1128
01:02:20.655 --> 01:02:22.555
Ah, banner, forgive me up banner.
1129
01:02:22.555 - -> 01:02:23.675
Alison might be able to help.
1130
01:02:26.025 --> 01:02:27.275
Yeah, that's absolutely right.
1131
01:02:27.475 --> 01:02:30.915
I mean, we would welcome third party design panel reviews,
1132
01:02:31.335 --> 01:02:32.755
for example, but, um,
1133
$01: 02: 34.455 \rightarrow 01: 02: 38.435$

```
but we accept that we, we do have our in-house
1134
01:02:39.065 --> 01:02:42.195
expertise to kind of, uh, guide that process as well.
1135
01:02:43.665 --> 01:02:45.715
Okay. And do you think a, like a more formal
1136
01:02:46.445 --> 01:02:50.075
third party design review pan panel would, um,
1137
01:02:51.365 --> 01:02:53.155
would, I mean, would the actual overall
1138
01:02:53.945 --> 01:02:56.475
concept evolve much more than it already has
1139
01:02:56.475 --> 01:02:57.515
done to this stage?
1140
01:03:00.205 --> 01:03:02.545
Um, perhaps not overall design concept,
1141
01:03:02.845 --> 01:03:06.905
but it may help with some of the detailed elements which,
1142
01:03:07.075 --> 01:03:08.945
which perhaps, uh, the applicant
1143
01:03:08.945 --> 01:03:10.945
and ourselves do disagree over,
1144
01:03:12.505 --> 01:03:14.015
Which is more related to the sort
1145
01:03:14.015 --> 01:03:16.095
of landscape design elements. Is that right?
1146
01:03:16.765 --> 01:03:19.655
Yeah, for my, for my element it would be the L landscape
```

```
1147
01:03:19.655 --> 01:03:21.015
design elements, yes.
1148
01:03:22.405 --> 01:03:25.495
Okay. So in that case, but you're,
1149
01:03:25.495 --> 01:03:27.975
but you are satisfied that you can deal with that as part
1150
01:03:27.975 --> 01:03:30.335
of your day-to-day planning roles?
1151
01:03:31.835 --> 01:03:34.415
Yes, we do, but we would welcome further engagement. Yep.
1152
01:03:37.195 --> 01:03:39.285
Okay. Would applicant like to respond to that?
1153
01:03:39.945 --> 01:03:41.285
So the, the, the main challenge
1154
01:03:41.285 --> 01:03:44.725
with independent design review is the time it takes, we want
1155
01:03:44.725 --> 01:03:46.645
to discharge requirements in a timely manner
1156
01:03:46.645 --> 01:03:48.325
to deliver this project in accordance
1157
01:03:48.325 --> 01:03:49.605
with the Homes England funding.
1158
01:03:50.065 --> 01:03:51.245
And I don't think
1159
01:03:51.245 --> 01:03:54.365
that the independent design panel is gonna add much
1160
01:03:54.365 --> 01:03:56.245
```

```
significant benefit to the process while
1161
01:03:56.245 --> 01:03:57.645
delaying significantly.
1162
01:03:58.185 --> 01:04:02.165
Um, we do understand, uh,
1163
01:04:02.345 --> 01:04:04.605
the concerns about the design of two of the buildings
1164
01:04:04.605 --> 01:04:06.925
and are happy to deal with the architectural treatment of
1165
01:04:06.925 --> 01:04:08.365
that through independent design review.
1166
01:04:08.665 --> 01:04:11.925
But I'm not sure that independent design review of something
1167
01:04:11.925 --> 01:04:15.165
as wide ranging as the LM would be would be useful.
1168
01:04:15.225 --> 01:04:18.565
So we would like to restrict that to two narrow areas
1169
01:04:18.565 --> 01:04:21.645
where we believe that panel review could add value.
1170
01:04:22.615 --> 01:04:24.365
Which panel? Sorry.
1171
01:04:24.545 --> 01:04:26.165
Uh, presumably it would be, if,
1172
01:04:26.165 --> 01:04:28.925
if if the local authority is seeking independent design
1173
01:04:28.925 --> 01:04:30.325
review, it would probably be the Cambridge
```

```
1174
01:04:30.595 --> 01:04:32.365
Cambridge Shire quality panel.
1175
01:04:32.755 --> 01:04:34.965
Okay. So can I just ask South came again,
1176
01:04:35.025 --> 01:04:37.725
is it mainly the two buildings that you are,
1177
01:04:38.595 --> 01:04:41.765
that you would welcome further, um, sort
1178
01:04:41.765 --> 01:04:44.965
of design advice from on rather than the whole
1179
01:04:45.345 --> 01:04:46.565
of the wastewater stream plant
1180
01:04:46.625 --> 01:04:48.965
and its elements of the elements?
1181
01:04:51.445 --> 01:04:55.535
Um, I, I, I, I think it's difficult
1182
01:04:55.535 --> 01:04:58.175
to just look at them in isolation without some
1183
01:04:58.175 --> 01:05:00.215
of the landscape elements associated with them.
1184
01:05:00.955 --> 01:05:05.615
Um, but you know, we would welcome any sort of design review
1185
01:05:05.615 --> 01:05:07.695
and I don't think the time constraints in this,
1186
01:05:08.195 --> 01:05:10.655
in this instance would be particularly onerous.
1187
01:05:14.805 --> 01:05:17.885
```

Okay, thank you. Would applicant like
1188
01:05:17.885 --> 01:05:19.445
to have any final comment on that?
1189
01:05:19.905 --> 01:05:22.165
Uh, no further comments on that, sir.
1190
01:05:26.135 --> 01:05:27.235
So, Uh, Mr. Mr.
1191
01:05:27.255 --> 01:05:28.915
Bowles may have something to add in respect
1192
01:05:28.915 --> 01:05:30.035
to the design code and,
1193
01:05:30.035 --> 01:05:31.315
and draw this out a little bit further.
1194
01:05:32.385 --> 01:05:34.275
Yeah. So in terms of the design code, um,
1195
01:05:35.205 --> 01:05:37.875
could you just briefly explain what it would include
1196
01:05:37.875 --> 01:05:41.475
and explain the recent changes to the DCO to make provision
1197
01:05:41.475 --> 01:05:42.955
for this and the reasons why?
1198
01:05:43.865 --> 01:05:45.075
Very briefly, please,
1199
01:05:47.875 --> 01:05:49.045
John Balls for the applicant.
1200
01:05:51.725 --> 01:05:55.105
So, uh, the, the design code has, uh, a number of, um,

```
1201
01:05:55.295 --> 01:05:58.145
matters that it intends, uh, to address
1202
01:05:58.325 --> 01:06:00.505
and, uh, are covered in the draft, uh,
1203
01:06:00.515 --> 01:06:04.265
which will be submitted at, um, at, uh, deadline four.
1204
01:06:05.685 --> 01:06:09.955
It will, um, uh, apply only
1205
01:06:09.975 --> 01:06:12.195
to certain components of the proposed development
1206
01:06:12.415 --> 01:06:14.475
and not to temporary buildings, um,
1207
01:06:14.615 --> 01:06:17.035
and spaces during construction so
1208
01:06:17.035 --> 01:06:19.715
that those will be covered under the COCP effectively.
1209
01:06:20.695 --> 01:06:24.995
Um, but it will cover site layout, use of colors,
1210
01:06:26.815 --> 01:06:30.695
materials, building performance,
1211
01:06:33.935 --> 01:06:38.775
the gateway building design, the workshop building design
1212
01:06:40.295 --> 01:06:43.795
carbon, as we discussed in the, uh, session yesterday,
1213
01:06:45.375 --> 01:06:49.935
lighting, uh, the, um,
1214
01:06:52.085 --> 01:06:57.075
```

```
uh, the outfall, the
1215
01:06:57.365 --> 01:06:59.755
above surface pipeline infrastructure.
1216
01:07:00.255 --> 01:07:03.555
So most, as you know, the pipeline will be, um,
1217
01:07:03.865 --> 01:07:06.315
significantly below, uh, ground level,
1218
01:07:06.695 --> 01:07:09.795
but there are points at which, um, some
1219
01:07:09.795 --> 01:07:13.115
of the infrastructure reaches ground level mm-Hmm.
1220
01:07:13.195 --> 01:07:14.635
So the, the detail around that,
1221
01:07:15.775 --> 01:07:17.995
Uh, so for example, the shaft on the
1222
01:07:18.635 --> 01:07:20.395
existing wastewater treatment plant
1223
01:07:20.395 --> 01:07:23.915
Site, so that, that so is, is, is, is, uh,
1224
01:07:23.915 --> 01:07:24.995
listed separately.
1225
01:07:25.215 --> 01:07:27.315
So I'm just, that's one of going forward.
1226
01:07:27.815 --> 01:07:31.035
Um, so the next one is the vent stack, um,
1227
01:07:31.295 --> 01:07:34.195
and that, um, the detail of that
```

```
1228
01:07:34.195 --> 01:07:37.235
because of its, its location, um,
1229
01:07:37.775 --> 01:07:39.715
and odor control in relation to that.
1230
01:07:42.055 --> 01:07:43.915
And the final one, which I've just added
1231
01:07:45.335 --> 01:07:46.915
is the flare stack and shield
1232
01:07:48.605 --> 01:07:49.185
The what? Sorry?
1233
01:07:49.325 --> 01:07:52.855
The flare stack and shield or the flare shield.
1234
01:07:56.295 --> 01:07:57.635
And what's about landscaping,
1235
01:07:59.315 --> 01:08:00.315
Sir? Um,
1236
01:08:00.315 --> 01:08:04.005
I'm gonna,
1237
01:08:04.425 --> 01:08:06.285
excuse me, I I would, I'll pass over to a,
1238
01:08:06.785 --> 01:08:08.885
Um, so the,
1239
01:08:10.425 --> 01:08:12.245
if I may just reverse a little bit.
1240
01:08:12.345 --> 01:08:15.365
The reason for seeking the design code is
1241
01:08:15.365 --> 01:08:19.165
```

```
because initially the requirement sought to, um,
1242
01:08:19.745 --> 01:08:21.725
secure the provisions of the dass,
1243
01:08:22.065 --> 01:08:23.205
the design access statement.
1244
01:08:23.235 --> 01:08:24.765
However, the design
1245
01:08:24.765 --> 01:08:27.965
and access statement didn't provide sufficient detail,
1246
01:08:28.505 --> 01:08:31.805
and so we sought to provide more detail in the design code.
1247
01:08:32.545 --> 01:08:36.525
We believe that the LRP provides more than adequate details
1248
01:08:36.625 --> 01:08:39.605
of landscaping and a method for securing that landscaping.
1249
01:08:39.605 --> 01:08:43.765
And so, um, the, the design code would seek to deliver
1250
01:08:43.765 --> 01:08:46.165
that level of, um, detail
1251
01:08:46.165 --> 01:08:49.045
that the LRP already provides in respect to the landscaping.
1252
01:08:49.635 --> 01:08:52.565
Okay. But landscape is integral to the design of the,
1253
01:08:53.465 --> 01:08:55.525
the whole of the wastewater treatment plant, isn't it?
1254
01:08:55.675 --> 01:08:59.515
It's, it's almost sort of landscape led in a way.
```

```
1255
01:08:59.665 --> 01:09:02.755
It's like, it depends on the landscaping to be successful.
1256
01:09:02.975 --> 01:09:07.275
So to not incorporate landscaping into a design code?
1257
01:09:10.655 --> 01:09:14.145
Forgive me sir. So it's, it, it's not in the, um,
1258
01:09:14.565 --> 01:09:16.585
design code, arguably it could
1259
01:09:16.585 --> 01:09:17.865
be included in the design code.
1260
01:09:18.605 --> 01:09:22.825
Um, but I would say that landscaping is, uh, is one
1261
01:09:22.825 --> 01:09:24.785
of the detailed design requirements.
1262
01:09:25.205 --> 01:09:27.945
So, uh, requirements seven requires detailed
1263
01:09:27.955 --> 01:09:29.185
plans to landscaping.
1264
01:09:29.805 --> 01:09:33.665
Um, and, um, sh sorry, um,
1265
01:09:34.705 --> 01:09:37.505
schedule two, part two of the draft DCO uh,
1266
01:09:37.535 --> 01:09:40.745
sets out the procedures for discharging certain approvals,
1267
01:09:41.285 --> 01:09:43.785
and that effectively requires us to submit
1268
01:09:43.785 --> 01:09:44.825
```

```
to the discharging authority
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01:09:44.825 --> 01:09:48.225
and the discharging authority having the option to approve
1270
01:09:48.325 --> 01:09:50.665
or refuse the detail.
1271
01:09:50.805 --> 01:09:53.665
As part of that, it's fully my expectation
1272
01:09:54.215 --> 01:09:57.625
that there would be pre-application, um, discussions,
1273
01:09:58.205 --> 01:10:00.465
and so we would engage effectively in a normal
1274
01:10:00.465 --> 01:10:02.545
pre-application process as part of that.
1275
01:10:02.925 --> 01:10:07.745
Now, certain elements like the buildings might well go
1276
01:10:07.745 --> 01:10:10.025
through design review as part of that process.
1277
01:10:10.935 --> 01:10:14.345
Certain others I wouldn't expect necessarily to go through
1278
01:10:14.365 --> 01:10:18.025
and th those would be a, a matter for agreement discussion
1279
01:10:18.025 --> 01:10:21.025
and agreement with the discharging authority officers.
1280
01:10:21.535 --> 01:10:23.185
Okay. Well, we'll await the design code
1281
01:10:23.185 --> 01:10:25.785
and see what other parties have to comment on it.
```

```
1282
01:10:26.645 --> 01:10:31.505
Um, do any
1283
01:10:31.505 --> 01:10:33.385
of the local authorities want
1284
01:10:33.385 --> 01:10:35.985
to say anything about the design code yet at this point,
1285
01:10:36.335 --> 01:10:37.665
even though you've not been involved
1286
01:10:37.665 --> 01:10:40.865
and don't probably know what's the detail of it either?
1287
01:10:41.445 --> 01:10:44.345
The, my instructions are a positive, but,
1288
01:10:44.345 --> 01:10:46.265
but we don't have anything to say at this stage.
1289
01:10:47.455 --> 01:10:50.145
Okay, thank you. Um, moving on then,
1290
01:10:50.255 --> 01:10:51.705
I've just got two more questions.
1291
01:10:51.765 --> 01:10:54.865
So, south Cambridge District Council's local impact report
1292
01:10:54.865 --> 01:10:58.705
at paragraph six point }15\mathrm{ suggests that lighting,
1293
01:10:59.225 --> 01:11:00.585
construction lighting should be monitored
1294
01:11:01.415 --> 01:11:04.345
through the construction environmental management plan.
1295
01:11:06.205 --> 01:11:07.545
```

```
The code of compr, code
1296
01:11:07.545 --> 01:11:10.025
of construction practice Part A sets out measures
1297
01:11:10.025 --> 01:11:13.765
for lighting control, as does the lighting design strategy
1298
01:11:14.785 --> 01:11:17.245
to be secured by requirement 14 of the DCO.
1299
01:11:18.105 --> 01:11:20.005
Um, what does it specifically you'd like
1300
01:11:20.005 --> 01:11:21.165
to see in addition to this?
1301
01:11:26.665 --> 01:11:28.485
So, um, I'm afraid we haven't
1302
01:11:29.225 --> 01:11:31.165
got anybody, I don't think Ms.
1303
01:11:31.175 --> 01:11:33.005
Elzer can, can deal with that. Um,
1304
01:11:33.395 --> 01:11:34.395
Okay. Can we put that
1305
01:11:34.395 --> 01:11:37.325
onto the, um, action point? Absolutely, sir.
1306
01:11:37.325 --> 01:11:38.685
Thank you. Thank you. Um,
1307
01:11:39.305 --> 01:11:42.885
and finally, um, for the applicant,
1308
01:11:45.525 --> 01:11:49.855
just to clarify, schedule 14 part 18 of the DCO,
```

```
1309
01:11:51.725 --> 01:11:54.575
this includes the parameters of the workshop building
1310
01:11:56.155 --> 01:12:00.535
and Schedule 14 past }18\mathrm{ identifies this as }70\mathrm{ meters
1311
01:12:00.535 --> 01:12:05.415
by 30 meters on
1312
01:12:05.415 --> 01:12:09.465
the design plans building the building's design plans,
1313
01:12:09.465 --> 01:12:10.825
which is a PP O2 four
1314
01:12:11.545 --> 01:12:15.585
suggests the workshop would be 55 meters by }16\mathrm{ meters.
1315
01:12:18.605 --> 01:12:21.265
So from what I can see, one says }17\mathrm{ meters
1316
01:12:21.265 --> 01:12:23.305
by 30 other says 55 by 16.
1317
01:12:23.305 --> 01:12:27.025
Could you please just clarify if this is an inconsistency
1318
01:12:27.025 --> 01:12:31.025
or whether I'm misunderstanding either of the two documents?
1319
01:12:39.155 --> 01:12:41.655
So I'm, I'm in instructed by angling water
1320
01:12:41.655 --> 01:12:43.175
that the parameters are incorrect.
1321
01:12:47.485 --> 01:12:52.345
So it's the design plans which correct. 55 by 16,
1322
01:12:53.965 --> 01:12:54.965
```

```
Sir? Yes. Okay. Could,
1323
01:12:54.965 --> 01:12:55.705
could I ask
1324
01:12:55.705 --> 01:12:58.905
that you check all parameters against the plans? Please,
1325
01:12:59.845 --> 01:13:02.065
Sir, we will check the parameters against the plans.
1326
01:13:05.575 --> 01:13:07.485
Thank you. So they're all the questions I had on
1327
01:13:07.485 --> 01:13:09.525
that particular agenda item.
1328
01:13:09.945 --> 01:13:13.005
Um, are there any interest parties
1329
01:13:13.055 --> 01:13:14.365
who'd like to make any points on that?
1330
01:13:14.505 --> 01:13:15.685
Yes, please, Mr. Gilda.
1331
01:13:20.975 --> 01:13:25.285
Thank you sir. Ian Gilda for, uh, save Honey Hill.
1332
01:13:25.985 --> 01:13:30.635
Um, obviously it's been a, a,
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01:13:30.835 --> 01:13:33.075
a series of questions, sir, which obviously you've asked,
1334
01:13:33.695 --> 01:13:35.075
um, of the applicant
1335
01:13:35.095 --> 01:13:36.515
and I probably will need to work
```

```
1336
01:13:36.515 --> 01:13:38.795
through them in roughly the same order, but try
1337
01:13:38.795 --> 01:13:41.075
and keep it as brief as I can.
1338
01:13:41.895 --> 01:13:45.815
Um, I think in terms of the
1339
01:13:46.785 --> 01:13:48.125
choice of a rectal linear
1340
01:13:48.225 --> 01:13:51.645
or a non rectal linear design, um, clearly, so you've,
1341
01:13:52.365 --> 01:13:55.245
I think put it rather bluntly that we are where we are
1342
01:13:55.275 --> 01:13:59.165
with a rotunda and that is the design before you.
1343
01:13:59.785 --> 01:14:03.685
Um, clearly our position has always been,
1344
01:14:03.905 --> 01:14:07.805
and I think it's actually backed up by the analysis
1345
01:14:07.805 --> 01:14:09.965
that was presented of the three design options
1346
01:14:10.105 --> 01:14:14.165
or the three broad design options that a triangular
1347
01:14:14.185 --> 01:14:16.805
or rector linear design would've been the
1348
01:14:16.805 --> 01:14:18.005
best in landscape terms.
1349
01:14:18.585 --> 01:14:21.925
```

And we have ended up with a rotunda for the reasons that Mr.

```
1350
01:14:22.015 --> 01:14:23.125
Pryor has pointed out.
1 3 5 1
01:14:23.505 --> 01:14:25.885
So this is definitely not optimizing
1352
01:14:25.945 --> 01:14:27.725
or maximizing the,
1353
01:14:28.535 --> 01:14:30.885
minimizing the impact on landscape character.
1354
01:14:30.985 --> 01:14:33.805
We have gone for a more impactful solution
1355
01:14:35.935 --> 01:14:38.425
that that remains for you to, to consider, sir.
1356
01:14:39.605 --> 01:14:39.825
Um,
1357
01:14:46.885 --> 01:14:49.285
I think the, the status, sir, of the, um,
1358
01:14:55.385 --> 01:14:57.775
Given that we now have a rotunda, um,
1359
01:14:57.915 --> 01:15:00.815
and a, a circular earthwork, which is nothing like, um,
1360
01:15:00.915 --> 01:15:03.415
the Devil's D**e or limb d**e, um,
1361
01:15:04.125 --> 01:15:08.655
what we still don't have in terms of ensuring that the DCO
1362
01:15:09.575 --> 01:15:11.575
delivers what it says it's going to be capable
```

```
1363
01:15:11.595 --> 01:15:14.575
of delivering is that there are no height parameters,
1364
01:15:14.635 --> 01:15:17.015
for example, or width parameters, um,
1365
01:15:17.315 --> 01:15:19.375
set in Schedule 14 for that bond.
1366
01:15:19.795 --> 01:15:20.975
And clearly that bond
1367
01:15:20.995 --> 01:15:23.695
and its minimum height is a critical part
1368
01:15:23.695 --> 01:15:25.615
of the impact assessment.
1369
01:15:25.675 --> 01:15:28.055
And its critical part of the ability of the scheme
1370
01:15:28.195 --> 01:15:29.535
to, to screen that.
1371
01:15:30.845 --> 01:15:33.215
This is a matter which we've raised with the applicants.
1372
01:15:33.315 --> 01:15:37.135
Um, they've made some changes to the DCO, um,
1373
01:15:37.365 --> 01:15:39.575
they haven't responded on that particular point,
1374
01:15:39.595 --> 01:15:43.165
and we are very keen that Through use that,
1375
01:15:43.755 --> 01:15:46.005
that there is a clear set of
1376
01:15:46.925 --> 01:15:51.085
```

```
physical parameters written into, into Schedule 14, um,
1377
01:15:51.385 --> 01:15:52.405
for that Earth Bank.
1378
01:15:52.785 --> 01:15:56.925
Um, we understand the limitations
1379
01:15:56.985 --> 01:16:00.125
of making that bank higher, that we continue
1380
01:16:00.125 --> 01:16:03.365
to take the view so that a small amount
1381
01:16:03.385 --> 01:16:07.365
of digging in a further meter perhaps within the 22 hectares
1382
01:16:07.405 --> 01:16:10.525
would've delivered more material and allowed a larger bond.
1383
01:16:11.345 --> 01:16:14.125
At this stage, we're certainly looking to see that
1384
01:16:14.125 --> 01:16:16.285
that minimum height of five meters
1385
01:16:16.285 --> 01:16:19.325
above existing ground level is delivered as are
1386
01:16:20.425 --> 01:16:21.885
the shallow ish slopes
1387
01:16:21.985 --> 01:16:24.005
or reasonably shallow slopes, um,
1388
01:16:24.155 --> 01:16:26.205
that Ms. Morrison was talking about in terms
1389
01:16:26.225 --> 01:16:27.645
of the sides to this bump.
```

```
1390
01:16:32.075 --> 01:16:36.015
When shall we get to the, the question of
1391
01:16:37.295 --> 01:16:39.595
The survival of trees and, and hedges?
1392
01:16:39.975 --> 01:16:42.835
Um, which is clearly a point that I
1393
01:16:42.895 --> 01:16:47.755
and Save Honey Hill have taken, um, in, in, I have to say,
1394
01:16:47.925 --> 01:16:49.635
continuing conversations with Mr.
1395
01:16:49.725 --> 01:16:53.715
Pryor and his team. Um, we are very concerned
1396
01:16:53.715 --> 01:16:55.515
because, I mean, I'm a local resident.
1397
01:16:55.585 --> 01:16:57.915
I've been planting trees 30 years, not,
1398
01:16:58.175 --> 01:16:59.635
not a mile from this site.
1399
01:17:00.235 --> 01:17:03.675
I have a pretty good idea of about how, which species thrive
1400
01:17:03.695 --> 01:17:06.475
and which, um, species don't.
1401
01:17:06.475 --> 01:17:11.305
But also the continuing effect of the, the drier seasons
1402
01:17:11.305 --> 01:17:12.825
that we've seen over the last decade.
1403
01:17:13.525 --> 01:17:16.705
```

```
Um, and we've also produced in the past, sir examples,
1404
01:17:16.705 --> 01:17:20.425
which I know will be questioned of planting
1405
01:17:20.445 --> 01:17:22.145
that's taking place in the relatively,
1406
01:17:23.075 --> 01:17:24.665
relatively near to this site.
1407
01:17:25.005 --> 01:17:29.185
Um, some of it was on the, um, form the present junction 34,
1408
01:17:29.685 --> 01:17:32.185
um, and has been in place for many years.
1409
01:17:32.605 --> 01:17:35.345
Um, but there's also planting, for example, there's a belt
1410
01:17:35.345 --> 01:17:38.945
of a tree belt that's been planted about six
1411
01:17:38.945 --> 01:17:41.225
or seven years ago facing the cemetery
1412
01:17:41.225 --> 01:17:43.985
and hoing sea, um, which is hardly thrive.
1413
01:17:44.135 --> 01:17:47.905
They are mere whips sitting, sitting in a sea of thistles.
1414
01:17:48.285 --> 01:17:50.665
Um, I think it is very clear
1415
01:17:50.665 --> 01:17:53.385
that without sustained watering, um,
1416
01:17:53.765 --> 01:17:57.705
or the opportunity to intervene in dry conditions, um,
```

```
1417
01:17:58.375 --> 01:18:00.905
that it will be very unlikely that those trees on top
1418
01:18:00.905 --> 01:18:04.265
of a bank will, will thrive.
1419
01:18:04.805 --> 01:18:07.265
I'm not denying that in, in quite a lot
1420
01:18:07.265 --> 01:18:09.225
of situations they will survive.
1421
01:18:09.465 --> 01:18:12.665
I mean, yes, planting, scrub, planting
1422
01:18:12.845 --> 01:18:16.425
and small smallish trees survive and, and,
1423
01:18:16.485 --> 01:18:19.305
and thrive on, on things like the Devil's Ditch,
1424
01:18:20.405 --> 01:18:21.665
but they are not capable.
1425
01:18:22.205 --> 01:18:24.625
The purpose of this planting on the top of the bun,
1426
01:18:25.165 --> 01:18:28.265
and it's only a single line of planting, is
1427
01:18:28.685 --> 01:18:32.465
to provide a significant part of the shielding of that works
1428
01:18:33.125 --> 01:18:34.745
at year 15 and beyond.
1429
01:18:35.365 --> 01:18:37.385
Yes, there will be planting in the foreground,
1430
01:18:37.675 --> 01:18:39.265
```

```
there will be planting at ground level,
1431
01:18:39.645 --> 01:18:43.065
but the fundamental purpose of the planting on the pond is
1432
01:18:43.065 --> 01:18:46.345
to, is to screen the higher elements of that works and
1433
01:18:46.345 --> 01:18:48.945
therefore it's very important not only do the trees
1434
01:18:49.535 --> 01:18:51.305
survive, but they thrive.
1435
01:18:52.485 --> 01:18:55.665
Um, and, and that that is a critical point.
1436
01:18:55.685 --> 01:18:58.185
Sir, I'm, I'm very much with, um,
1437
01:18:58.845 --> 01:19:02.305
the South Cams offices in, in feeling
1438
01:19:02.305 --> 01:19:05.105
that this is not not being fully addressed.
1439
01:19:05.365 --> 01:19:08.385
Um, we're still in the position that
1440
01:19:09.165 --> 01:19:10.745
the watering commitment, as far
1441
01:19:10.745 --> 01:19:13.985
as I see it on the earthworks, is for watering
1442
01:19:13.985 --> 01:19:18.225
for two years, followed by a third years, three to five.
1443
01:19:18.645 --> 01:19:22.905
It will be in periods of prolonged drought or, or high heat.
```

```
1444
01:19:23.605 --> 01:19:26.225
Um, and beyond that, there's no commitment to,
1445
01:19:26.365 --> 01:19:27.825
to any further watering.
1446
01:19:28.285 --> 01:19:30.305
Um, I fully understand the question
1447
01:19:30.835 --> 01:19:34.825
since I plant trees myself, that, that you don't want
1448
01:19:34.825 --> 01:19:39.345
to over water trees and promote shallow root formation.
1449
01:19:39.765 --> 01:19:43.425
But the issue here is to have trees that will thrive and,
1450
01:19:43.525 --> 01:19:47.385
and continue to thrive and won't say in year 10
1451
01:19:47.405 --> 01:19:51.505
or year eight, turn up their toes and have to be replanted
1452
01:19:51.505 --> 01:19:54.985
because clearly that takes us back a decade or more.
1453
01:19:55.045 --> 01:19:58.625
And the, in terms of the, the extent
1454
01:19:58.625 --> 01:20:00.225
to which the visual impacts can
1455
01:20:00.365 --> 01:20:02.505
and landscape impacts will be mitigated.
1456
01:20:02.885 --> 01:20:03.105
Um,
1457
01:20:13.435 --> 01:20:14.515
```

I think a related point,
1458
01:20:14.795 --> 01:20:18.595
although we haven't discussed it this afternoon, is
1459
01:20:19.225 --> 01:20:23.215
that the Early stage planting, the planting
1460
01:20:23.315 --> 01:20:26.095
to take place at the very earliest stages of the scheme
1461
01:20:26.635 --> 01:20:30.335
is limited to some very narrow tree belts along part
1462
01:20:30.335 --> 01:20:31.535
of Lowen driveway.
1463
01:20:31.995 --> 01:20:35.695
Um, and the reinforcement of the planting along
1464
01:20:36.325 --> 01:20:37.495
Hing Sea Road and,
1465
01:20:37.555 --> 01:20:39.615
and the tree belt that I mentioned earlier
1466
01:20:40.005 --> 01:20:44.775
that runs at right angles to that, um, none of the,
1467
01:20:46.355 --> 01:20:48.175
the early stage tree planting is all
1468
01:20:48.175 --> 01:20:50.655
to be no more than 7.5 meters in width.
1469
01:20:51.395 --> 01:20:53.735
Now those, that, that planting
1470
01:20:54.785 --> 01:20:57.935
isn't constrained particularly by lack of space on site,

```
1471
01:20:58.335 --> 01:21:00.295
'cause it is the, it is around the perimeter.
1472
01:21:00.755 --> 01:21:04.815
Um, and it seems extremely mean
1473
01:21:05.475 --> 01:21:07.975
to plant such a narrow tree belt given
1474
01:21:08.045 --> 01:21:12.695
that 7.5 meter tree belts are barely adequate in,
1475
01:21:12.955 --> 01:21:14.895
um, in the long term.
1476
01:21:14.955 --> 01:21:17.495
And in the short term, clearly they provide very,
1477
01:21:17.495 --> 01:21:22.365
very little, um, they provide very, very little, um,
1478
01:21:22.905 --> 01:21:23.925
visual screening.
1479
01:21:32.155 --> 01:21:36.575
So if we turn to the lump, I dunno whether you want to turn,
1480
01:21:36.685 --> 01:21:38.615
turn it up, Sarah, or whether it's gonna be useful.
1481
01:21:38.835 --> 01:21:42.335
You want be happy with me, me reading bits of it too.
1482
01:21:43.075 --> 01:21:46.895
Um, I have it in front of me. Excellent. Good.
1483
01:21:47.195 --> 01:21:50.575
Um, yes, well, page 44 we go to sir,
1484
01:21:50.575 --> 01:21:52.815
```

```
which is paragraph 4.2, 0.2.
1485
01:21:56.265 --> 01:22:00.825
Now that is very much as I have set out in
1486
01:22:00.825 --> 01:22:04.265
what I've just said, that I consider those, that level of
1487
01:22:05.375 --> 01:22:08.475
watering commitment to be, to be too little.
1488
01:22:08.615 --> 01:22:11.235
It needs probably to extend to a five year period
1489
01:22:11.375 --> 01:22:14.995
for regular watering, um, given the dry springs
1490
01:22:14.995 --> 01:22:16.475
and summers that we're having, um,
1491
01:22:16.935 --> 01:22:18.995
and to a period beyond five years
1492
01:22:19.215 --> 01:22:22.635
for supplemental irrigation, possibly a period
1493
01:22:22.655 --> 01:22:23.675
of five to 10 years.
1494
01:22:23.775 --> 01:22:26.875
Or it could even be made more, more specific than that
1495
01:22:27.295 --> 01:22:28.355
or longer than that.
1496
01:22:29.015 --> 01:22:29.235
Um,
1497
01:22:44.875 --> 01:22:47.815
I'm, I'm not clear, sir, from the remaining
```

```
1498
01:22:49.115 --> 01:22:50.895
the ongoing section of the learn
1499
01:22:50.925 --> 01:22:54.335
that takes us into the maintenance regime as
1500
01:22:54.335 --> 01:22:56.535
to whether there is any commitment to replant
1501
01:22:56.535 --> 01:22:58.175
after five years, um,
1502
01:22:59.765 --> 01:23:04.665
unless it is seen to arise through the biodiversity, um,
1503
01:23:05.485 --> 01:23:06.965
biodiversity commitment.
1504
01:23:07.345 --> 01:23:12.245
But that isn't, it isn't clear to me that, you know,
1505
01:23:12.305 --> 01:23:14.405
if, if a few trees on the bun fail,
1506
01:23:14.505 --> 01:23:17.805
it may not hit the biodiversity metric,
1507
01:23:17.865 --> 01:23:20.485
but it will certainly hit, if I put it like that,
1508
01:23:20.665 --> 01:23:23.285
the ability of the scheme to be screened.
1509
01:23:24.025 --> 01:23:26.405
Um, and as far as I'm aware, sir,
1510
01:23:26.405 --> 01:23:28.525
there's no commitment in table 5.1.
1511
01:23:28.525 --> 01:23:30.565
```

```
For example, it's a question of replanting this,
1512
01:23:30.875 --> 01:23:31.925
this, this commitment.
1513
01:23:34.005 --> 01:23:38.105
We go to paragraph 4.3 0.2, which is on page 59.
1514
01:23:38.725 --> 01:23:43.095
Um, I read those three
1515
01:23:43.255 --> 01:23:48.055
paragraphs, four, four point, 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4.
1516
01:23:48.555 --> 01:23:51.585
Um, typically DCA requirements
1517
01:23:52.135 --> 01:23:53.985
require aftercare commitments planted
1518
01:23:54.045 --> 01:23:55.265
to take place for five years.
1519
01:23:55.685 --> 01:23:58.945
Longer term maintenance would take part as be part
1520
01:23:58.945 --> 01:24:02.665
of angling waters wider environmental care initiatives.
1521
01:24:03.255 --> 01:24:05.745
Neither of those paragraphs, uh, commit
1522
01:24:05.745 --> 01:24:07.665
to replanting after five years.
1523
01:24:08.055 --> 01:24:11.385
That is just a general, um, approach to maintenance.
1524
01:24:12.045 --> 01:24:16.705
Um, As a related point, sir, um,
```

1525
01:24:17.865 --> 01:24:21.085
we also take the point that we believe that the
1526
01:24:22.965 --> 01:24:25.405
landscaping around this site, there needs to be a commitment
1527
01:24:26.425 --> 01:24:29.565
to its continued maintenance beyond the period of 30 years.
1528
01:24:29.635 --> 01:24:33.045
This may not be just to create, just to meet the terms
1529
01:24:33.065 --> 01:24:34.165
of the environment act,
1530
01:24:34.185 --> 01:24:36.525
but it is, it is that this is,
1531
01:24:36.995 --> 01:24:40.605
this is clearly a very long term, um, project
1532
01:24:41.065 --> 01:24:43.245
and it's, it's going to be in place for many years.
1533
01:24:43.865 --> 01:24:47.085
So what is the, what is the argument
1534
01:24:47.085 --> 01:24:50.485
or what is a sound argument in favor of only maintaining
1535
01:24:50.505 --> 01:24:52.045
for a minimum period of 30 years?
1536
01:24:53.025 --> 01:24:56.085
Um, and that's been a point that we've, we've raised before
1537
01:25:03.015 --> 01:25:06.745
and, and in table 5.1, sir, which you will get
1538
01:25:06.745 --> 01:25:08.305
to if you keep scrolling down.
1539
01:25:09.165 --> 01:25:14.025
Um, again, we are not seeing in,
1540
01:25:14.205 --> 01:25:18.665
in, in the monitoring regimes either in,
1541
01:25:19.405 --> 01:25:19.625
um,
1542
01:25:24.395 --> 01:25:27.025
we're not seeing, again, we're not seeing any commitment
1543
01:25:27.025 --> 01:25:30.785
to replanting, we're seeing commitments to maintain trees
1544
01:25:30.785 --> 01:25:34.025
that are in, in place, um, and,
1545
01:25:34.085 --> 01:25:38.385
and a range of abo cultural and practical regimes to look
1546
01:25:38.385 --> 01:25:40.705
after trees, but we're not seeing a commitment to replanting
1547
01:25:44.235 --> 01:25:46.645
Just, just in that table 5.1.
1548
01:25:46.645 --> 01:25:51.205
On the third, third row, it says all new trees, shrub
1549
01:25:51.205 --> 01:25:54.955
and hydro planting, uh, monitor
1550
01:25:55.135 --> 01:25:57.915
and record any plant losses where a single species
1551
01:25:59.435 --> 01:26:01.185
shows disease, uh,

1552
01:26:07.485 --> 01:26:09.345
it would be replaced with the original species
1553
01:26:09.805 --> 01:26:11.265
and that's over a 30 year period.
1554
01:26:12.345 --> 01:26:13.565
Is that, am $I$ reading that right?
1555
01:26:13.995 --> 01:26:15.325
Well, I don't think you are, sir.
1556
01:26:16.805 --> 01:26:19.885
I think it may be that this is the applicant's intention,
1557
01:26:19.905 $\rightarrow$ 01:26:24.525
in which case we need to see, I think a clearer commitment
1558
01:26:24.525 --> 01:26:28.085
that we're, we're failures take place beyond, um,
1559
01:26:28.185 --> 01:26:30.565
the five year period there will be replacement.
1560
01:26:31.105 --> 01:26:34.165
Um, I mean it's, it's, it's entirely reasonable
1561
01:26:34.165 --> 01:26:37.725
and sensible that if, if certain species don't thrive,
1562
01:26:37.755 --> 01:26:40.325
that you don't continue to replace with the same species.
1563
01:26:40.605 --> 01:26:43.485
I mean, it clearly does become a point where you make sense
1564
01:26:43.945 --> 01:26:46.005
of, of, of trying alternative species
1565
01:26:46.145 --> 01:26:47.685
or alternative planting methods.
1566
01:26:48.465 --> 01:26:52.705
Um, I mean that those,
1567
01:26:53.315 --> 01:26:56.185
those sentences end up with suggesting
1568
01:26:56.215 --> 01:26:58.945
that you consider an amendment to the original proposals.
1569
01:26:58.945 --> 01:26:59.945
Well, that,
1570
01:27:00.365 --> 01:27:03.135
That's, That's a curious way of phrasing
1571
01:27:03.205 --> 01:27:04.695
that you consider replanting
1572
01:27:04.795 --> 01:27:08.255
or you decide to replant in the best possible form.
1573
01:27:16.295 --> 01:27:17.575
I think if I could go on sir.
1574
01:27:17.755 --> 01:27:21.775
Um, unless you want to stay on, on the tree tree topic.
1575
01:27:22.195 --> 01:27:24.415
No, you can go on, but if you could make it fairly brief.
1576
01:27:24.415 --> 01:27:27.935
So I am making it, I hope relatively brief, um,
1577
01:27:32.705 --> 01:27:35.755
I've heard what the applicant says, sir, about, um, having
1578
01:27:37.355 --> 01:27:38.805
independent design review.

```
1579
01:27:38.835 --> 01:27:41.205
I've always been a very strong advocate on major
1580
01:27:41.205 --> 01:27:42.885
infrastructure projects of, of
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01:27:43.955 --> 01:27:46.165
continued independent design review.
1582
01:27:46.425 --> 01:27:49.725
Um, and I do accept that in this case
1583
01:27:50.505 --> 01:27:52.845
it is a strongly landscape led project.
1584
01:27:53.465 --> 01:27:57.005
Um, we are now hearing so that a,
1585
01:27:57.745 --> 01:28:00.045
the applicant is certainly not happy with the idea
1586
01:28:00.045 --> 01:28:03.365
of there being any independent advice apart from the gateway
1587
01:28:03.765 --> 01:28:06.445
building, um, and the other building
1588
01:28:06.505 --> 01:28:07.965
of interest to the local authority.
1589
01:28:08.785 --> 01:28:13.535
Um, So there's no ongoing advice
1590
01:28:13.595 --> 01:28:15.135
on, on landscape design.
1591
01:28:15.715 --> 01:28:20.095
Um, south Cambridgeshire seem to be accepting that
1592
01:28:21.445 --> 01:28:22.985
```

```
on the one hand they find,
1593
01:28:23.765 --> 01:28:27.585
and I would agree with them, that the, that the layout,
1594
01:28:27.765 --> 01:28:32.225
the landscape plan in the, the lmm doesn't provide the,
1595
01:28:32.405 --> 01:28:33.825
the sort of width of rides
1596
01:28:33.825 --> 01:28:36.345
and viewpoints that could be achieved through that design.
1597
01:28:36.645 --> 01:28:39.225
But I mean, effectively you are being asked to endorse
1598
01:28:39.335 --> 01:28:41.785
that LM as part of the DCO.
1599
01:28:42.245 --> 01:28:44.265
Um, and I'm not sure to
1600
01:28:44.265 --> 01:28:46.425
what extent the local authority will,
1601
01:28:46.925 --> 01:28:50.185
in this case county council will have the discretion to say,
1602
01:28:50.185 --> 01:28:51.705
well, we don't actually like the layout
1603
01:28:51.925 --> 01:28:53.385
or the design that's in the lab
1604
01:28:53.685 --> 01:28:55.505
and we need a new landscape design.
1605
01:28:55.925 --> 01:28:58.985
Um, it seems to me so that that it needs
```

1606
01:28:58.985 --> 01:29:00.985
to be resolved at this stage with
1607
01:29:02.095 --> 01:29:05.325
acceptable admittedly concept design in the lamp.
1608
01:29:09.985 --> 01:29:12.565
And I think YUSA went to the last of these points.
1609
01:29:12.665 --> 01:29:16.205
We haven't seen the draft design code, but um, Mr.
1610
01:29:16.205 --> 01:29:18.845
Bowles has made it very clear that the design code is really
1611
01:29:20.045 --> 01:29:24.325
intended to pick up a, a relatively small number of design,
1612
01:29:24.475 --> 01:29:26.885
architectural design elements in the scheme
1613
01:29:27.345 --> 01:29:29.325
and not to address the landscape design.
1614
01:29:29.385 --> 01:29:33.485
So landscape design is fundamental to the
1615
01:29:33.995 --> 01:29:35.685
overall appearance of this scheme
1616
01:29:35.685 --> 01:29:37.405
and the overall outcome of this scheme.
1617
01:29:37.985 --> 01:29:40.925
Um, and if it's not covered in the design code
1618
01:29:40.945 --> 01:29:42.525
but is sitting within the lrp,
1619
01:29:42.845 --> 01:29:44.685

I think it takes me back to the earlier point.
1620
01:29:44.745 --> 01:29:48.125
So that LRP needs to be right at this stage as a set
1621
01:29:48.125 --> 01:29:51.605
of principles on which to evolve the landscape design.
1622
01:29:56.955 --> 01:29:58.685
Okay, thank you for that. Will the applicant
1623
01:29:58.685 --> 01:29:59.885
likes come back on any of those comments?
1624
01:30:01.675 --> 01:30:03.055
Uh, we, we won't labor the point.
1625
01:30:03.115 --> 01:30:06.455
We, we very briefly deal with the overview by our,
1626
01:30:06.675 --> 01:30:08.015
um, design.
1627
01:30:08.475 --> 01:30:11.935
We believe that the project accords with the NPS criteria
1628
01:30:11.995 --> 01:30:14.335
for good design, it has been through six stages
1629
01:30:14.355 --> 01:30:15.895
of independent DI design review.
1630
01:30:16.645 --> 01:30:17.935
Therefore we believe
1631
01:30:17.935 --> 01:30:20.975
that we are delivering on the NPS requirements.
1632
01:30:21.475 --> 01:30:25.185
Um, I think, um, we

1633
01:30:26.155 --> 01:30:28.945
won't return to other issues we've talked about today.
1634
01:30:29.065 --> 01:30:31.905
I would say that we agree with your reading of the LRP
1635
01:30:31.925 --> 01:30:33.025
and the reinstatement
1636
01:30:33.085 --> 01:30:36.505
of failed trees on an annual basis for 30 years.
1637
01:30:37.125 --> 01:30:41.145
And so we believe that the LRP secures the planting
1638
01:30:41.805 --> 01:30:44.585
in a way collaboratively with the local authorities
1639
01:30:44.975 --> 01:30:48.585
that allows species selection at the outset.
1640
01:30:48.765 --> 01:30:52.825
And as things progress, if species individual, uh,
1641
01:30:53.225 --> 01:30:55.785
specimens fail, they can be replaced by agreement
1642
01:30:55.785 --> 01:30:57.185
with the local planning authority.
1643
01:30:57.525 --> 01:30:59.985
And therefore we, the objectives
1644
01:30:59.985 --> 01:31:02.265
that are set out in those tables, both on monitoring
1645
01:31:02.265 --> 01:31:06.505
and in maintenance, um, provide, uh, everyone
1646
01:31:06.505 --> 01:31:08.385
with a high level of certainty that
1647
01:31:08.385 --> 01:31:11.265
that landscaping will be delivered for a period of 30 years.
1648
01:31:13.975 --> 01:31:16.915
Okay, thank you. Can I just, uh,
1649
01:31:16.915 --> 01:31:18.195
Joe Morris further applicant?
1650
01:31:18.215 --> 01:31:19.795
Can I just clarify a couple of things?
1651
01:31:19.935 --> 01:31:23.955
Um, so the, at the top of the mound, uh, of the Earth Bank,
1652
01:31:24.135 --> 01:31:26.995
the hedge will be a sort of thicke hedge, uh, up
1653
01:31:27.135 --> 01:31:29.595
and it's going to be a triple, triple grove plants.
1654
01:31:29.605 --> 01:31:30.795
It'll be called very sturdy hedge.
1655
01:31:31.135 --> 01:31:34.595
And the idea is it's bushy and it is not a neatly cut hedge.
1656
01:31:35.295 --> 01:31:38.955
Um, just to say that I think that as well as watering,
1657
01:31:38.955 --> 01:31:40.715
I think soil conditions are hugely important
1658
01:31:41.095 --> 01:31:43.955
to creating good, good growth and good establishment.
1659
01:31:44.295 --> 01:31:47.555
Um, the existing planting at, um, near

1660
01:31:47.555 --> 01:31:50.115
to Hing Sea has just completely not been maintained
1661
01:31:50.135 --> 01:31:53.595
and it's, so that's why it's ly all the tree tree guards are
1662
01:31:53.595 --> 01:31:54.715
still on it after all these years.
1663
01:31:54.775 --> 01:31:55.795
So I think that might,
1664
01:31:55.865 --> 01:31:59.925
that might explain why it hasn't prospered at all.
1665
01:32:00.505 --> 01:32:04.125
Um, you do get around the area, I mean on the banks of the,
1666
01:32:04.305 --> 01:32:06.845
um, slope, you know, on the back, the bridge embankments of,
1667
01:32:06.865 --> 01:32:09.605
for the bridges over the A 14, there is vegetation
1668
01:32:09.605 --> 01:32:12.525
that has grown now probably much steeper embankments.
1669
01:32:12.585 --> 01:32:16.245
So it is the case you can establish, um, trees
1670
01:32:16.245 --> 01:32:18.445
and shrubs on slopes.
1671
01:32:19.705 --> 01:32:21.125
Um, and just to say
1672
01:32:21.125 --> 01:32:25.605
that the seven meter wide initial planting will be, it's,
1673
01:32:25.795 --> 01:32:27.205
it's narrow, I agree,
1674
01:32:27.505 --> 01:32:30.365
but it will be quite cl it will be closer to receptors,
1675
01:32:30.365 --> 01:32:32.045
so it will actually be more effective than
1676
01:32:32.045 --> 01:32:33.125
more distant planting.
1677
01:32:33.945 --> 01:32:38.405
Um, and finally that the rides, some
1678
01:32:38.405 --> 01:32:40.005
of the rides are up to 20 meters wide.
1679
01:32:40.025 --> 01:32:41.765
So I mean, I think it's difficult to get a sense
1680
01:32:41.765 --> 01:32:43.085
of the scale of this scheme,
1681
01:32:43.385 --> 01:32:44.965
but actually they are quite wide.
1682
01:32:44.965 --> 01:32:47.885
They will feel quite wide when you are walking down one.
1683
01:32:48.735 --> 01:32:51.405
Thank you. And as it's probably worth just addressing the
1684
01:32:51.405 --> 01:32:54.845
point that why is there not a minimum bump height
1685
01:32:54.875 --> 01:32:56.045
requirement in
1686
01:32:56.145 --> 01:32:59.285
or parameter within the DCO when you're relying on that

1687
01:32:59.945 --> 01:33:01.565
In terms of mitigation,
1688
01:33:03.265 --> 01:33:04.265
Sir? Yes, thank you.
1689
01:33:04.265 - -> 01:33:05.765
It was good to hear.
1690
01:33:06.145 --> 01:33:08.205
Uh, safe Honey Hill acknowledge
1691
01:33:08.205 --> 01:33:10.405
that the bond does provide some screening function.
1692
01:33:10.745 $\rightarrow$ 01:33:14.565
Um, we were, at the time we developed the bun,
1693
01:33:14.585 --> 01:33:16.525
we were unsure about soil compaction levels.
1694
01:33:16.865 --> 01:33:19.525
Um, I think we can come back to you on some parameters
1695
01:33:19.545 --> 01:33:21.525
for the height of that bun in, in due course.
1696
01:33:21.825 --> 01:33:24.165
So those geotechnical results are available now
1697
01:33:24.165 --> 01:33:26.725
and we will investigate what we can commit to.

1698
01:33:27.435 --> 01:33:29.325
Okay. It's dependent on, of course, the level
1699
01:33:29.325 --> 01:33:32.365
of excavations and the finished ground level, uh,
1700
01:33:32.585 $\rightarrow$ 01:33:35.125
and then how much soil can be used to form the buns
1701
01:33:35.125 --> 01:33:38.125
because part of the intention of the BUN was
1702
01:33:38.125 --> 01:33:39.565
to minimize carbon impacts
1703
01:33:39.705 --> 01:33:42.045
and vehicle movements by not importing material
1704
01:33:42.305 --> 01:33:44.565
and retaining excavated materials.
1705
01:33:44.565 --> 01:33:46.245
So it, it's a, a balance of the cut
1706
01:33:46.245 --> 01:33:48.645
and fill balance that we need to just clarify.
1707
01:33:49.885 --> 01:33:52.775
Okay. I look forward to receiving that in that case.
1708
01:33:52.775 --> 01:33:55.095
Thank you. Yes, Ms. Conroy,
1709
01:33:56.315 --> 01:33:59.815
Uh, Jenny Conroy as a resident, not on behalf
1710
01:33:59.815 --> 01:34:03.415
of Safe Honey Hill, um, I've been a, a resident here
1711
01:34:03.415 --> 01:34:08.335
for 40 years and I have to say like for most of us, uh,
1712
01:34:08.335 --> 01:34:09.855
30 years ain't a long time.
1713
01:34:10.725 --> 01:34:13.415
It's going to take the best part of a generation

```
1714
01:34:14.075 --> 01:34:16.095
for this planting to provide the area
1715
01:34:16.095 --> 01:34:17.375
with optimal screening.
1716
01:34:17.785 --> 01:34:19.815
We've got the construction phase
1717
01:34:20.235 --> 01:34:22.655
and then I think there's another three years settling in
1718
01:34:22.655 --> 01:34:26.535
phase before we then get to our 15 years to get
1719
01:34:26.535 --> 01:34:27.935
to the optimal screening.
1720
01:34:28.875 --> 01:34:33.575
Um, I fully understand why the applicant is limiting
1721
01:34:34.115 --> 01:34:35.695
the idea of replacement planting
1722
01:34:35.875 --> 01:34:38.415
and taking care, for example, for 30 years
1723
01:34:38.415 --> 01:34:42.095
because the reference is to requirement I understand
1724
01:34:42.095 --> 01:34:46.375
and regulation we've acknowledged today
1725
01:34:46.835 --> 01:34:50.415
and we acknowledge that this setting is extremely sensitive
1726
01:34:50.525 --> 01:34:53.015
with regards to the historic environment
1727
01:34:54.475 --> 01:34:56.015
```

we take on board.

1728
01:34:56.155 --> 01:34:59.605
We, I say we as a group take on board the fact
1729
01:34:59.605 --> 01:35:02.645
that it's an area that's rich in green infrastructure
1730
01:35:04.705 --> 01:35:08.565
beyond 30 years for our future generations.

1731
01:35:09.025 --> 01:35:13.885
It is absolutely essential that this planting landscape

1732
01:35:15.245 --> 01:35:16.605
survives and continues.
1733
01:35:17.275 --> 01:35:21.405
What happens at 30 years when the standard trees
1734
01:35:21.405 --> 01:35:26.085
that we have, for example, along, um, our roadsides,
1735
01:35:26.085 --> 01:35:28.845
they reached 30 years, they exhaust, of course they do
1736
01:35:29.615 --> 01:35:30.925
super standard trees.
1737
01:35:31.105 --> 01:35:36.045
Yes, they last 50,75 years. What happens beyond 30 years?
1738
01:35:36.155 --> 01:35:39.405
Where's the responsibility here to the next generation?
1739
01:35:42.605 --> 01:35:43.855
Okay, thank you. And there was another,
1740
01:35:44.315 --> 01:35:45.415
did I say another hand up?

```
1741
01:35:47.065 --> 01:35:48.065
Yes, please.
1742
01:35:49.375 --> 01:35:52.875
I'd just like to, I'd just like to go back to this notion
1743
01:35:52.875 --> 01:35:56.695
that the circular form is
1744
01:35:57.665 --> 01:36:00.735
democratic and that it needs to be democratic.
1745
01:36:02.175 --> 01:36:06.995
Um, we, sorry, David Yandel Honey Hill Save Honey Hill.
1746
01:36:07.495 --> 01:36:11.875
We put forward, um, an observation that
1747
01:36:12.535 --> 01:36:14.515
if you'd move the same amount of Earth
1748
01:36:14.655 --> 01:36:16.395
but in an asymmetrical way,
1749
01:36:17.135 --> 01:36:20.675
you could have created far better screening from the
1750
01:36:20.675 --> 01:36:22.635
directions in which it really matters.
1751
01:36:23.975 --> 01:36:26.755
Uh, added to that, we said that there are already
1752
01:36:27.315 --> 01:36:30.635
existing screening elements in the landscape, which ought
1753
01:36:30.635 --> 01:36:34.995
to be employed so that you avoid overcrowding the landscape.
1754
01:36:35.265 --> 01:36:36.955
```

Just use what's there already.
1755
01:36:38.375 --> 01:36:42.115
Uh, it it, and it does concern me that you seem to be saying
1756
01:36:42.265 --> 01:36:46.295
that the concept as represented
1757
01:36:46.295 --> 01:36:48.175
by the present design is a fe plea.
1758
01:36:49.315 --> 01:36:52.465
You, you don't seem to be saying it's possible
1759
01:36:52.525 --> 01:36:54.705
to reject the present design.
1760
01:36:56.645 --> 01:36:58.335
That, that that's not what I was inferring.
1761
01:36:58.495 --> 01:37:00.935
I was inferring that in, in terms of the design plans
1762
01:37:00.995 --> 01:37:05.255
as they're presented to us, that is what the design
1763
01:37:05.905 --> 01:37:08.135
would likely be if the development consented
1764
01:37:08.395 --> 01:37:09.575
was to be consented.
1765
01:37:10.005 --> 01:37:12.895
Okay. That's all I want to say. Thank you.
1766
01:37:17.975 --> 01:37:21.235
Yes, please, Ms. Cotton. Uh, just very quickly, uh,
1767
01:37:21.235 --> 01:37:22.995
with reference to something that, uh, uh, Mr.

1768
01:37:23.045 --> 01:37:26.155
Pryor said about the, the reason that these, uh, uh,
1769
01:37:26.155 --> 01:37:29.195
this sewage plant is going to be, uh, especially, uh, uh,
1770
01:37:29.345 --> 01:37:33.915
high and, uh, prominent is because of the, uh, groundwater
1771
01:37:34.375 --> 01:37:36.435
and its location above a chalk aquifer.
1772
01:37:36.935 --> 01:37:40.555
Um, and, uh, presumably that's not the case, uh, uh,
1773
01:37:40.565 $\rightarrow$ 01:37:42.315
where it is at the moment.
1774
01:37:42.495 --> 01:37:45.075
And if it were located elsewhere, um,
1775
01:37:45.765 --> 01:37:49.475
there wouldn't be all this anxiety about, uh, um, uh,
1776
01:37:50.465 --> 01:37:52.435
screening because perhaps it would not have
1777
01:37:52.435 --> 01:37:53.555
to be so prominent.
1778
01:37:54.015 --> 01:37:57.965
And, uh, with all this discussion of screening, we're trying
1779
01:37:57.965 --> 01:37:59.245
to cover up something ugly,
1780
01:37:59.375 --> 01:38:02.005
which is being de demographically shared around,
1781
01:38:02.545 --> 01:38:04.885

```
but we are losing something beautiful.
1782
01:38:04.885 --> 01:38:06.205
That's the, and, and just
1783
01:38:06.205 --> 01:38:08.325
with all the screening in the world, it,
1784
01:38:08.425 --> 01:38:09.525
it ain't gonna bring it back.
1785
01:38:09.985 --> 01:38:13.325
And it's just important to acknowledge that I think.
1786
01:38:15.215 --> 01:38:17.885
Thank you. If the applicant would like
1787
01:38:17.885 --> 01:38:20.565
to respond particularly on where the point where,
1788
01:38:20.785 --> 01:38:22.805
why does there have to be a uniform height?
1789
01:38:22.985 --> 01:38:26.725
Why, why could it not be, you know, made higher
1790
01:38:26.725 --> 01:38:30.285
where the greater visual visual effects are seen from?
1791
01:38:30.985 --> 01:38:34.765
Um, sir, um, the, the, the,
1792
01:38:34.765 --> 01:38:36.925
the Democratic effect was a direct response
1793
01:38:37.025 --> 01:38:40.645
to phase one consultation from, uh, safe Honey Hill
1794
01:38:40.645 --> 01:38:43.285
and Horsley Parish Council, where it was suggested
```

1795
01:38:43.285 --> 01:38:45.725
that the project should be equally
1796
01:38:45.725 --> 01:38:46.765
screened from all neighborhoods.
1797
01:38:47.305 --> 01:38:51.565
Um, the suggestion made by Safe Honey Hill about shifting
1798
01:38:52.175 --> 01:38:55.365
earth to the Northeast only came in
1799
01:38:55.365 --> 01:38:57.845
after the application was submitted, uh,
1800
01:38:57.995 --> 01:39:00.205
despite three stages of consultation, and
1801
01:39:00.205 --> 01:39:02.485
therefore we haven't considered it as an alternative.
1802
01:39:03.195 --> 01:39:06.405
Okay, thank you for that. Um, I propose
1803
01:39:06.405 --> 01:39:08.805
to take a break now and we will come back and we'll do,
1804
01:39:11.445 --> 01:39:14.855
Just on a point of clarification, we asked for the
1805
01:39:15.365 --> 01:39:18.895
development to be equidistant from the communities affected,
1806
01:39:19.595 --> 01:39:22.215
not equally screened from the communities affected
1807
01:39:22.215 --> 01:39:25.175
because for instance, choir is totally screened
1808
01:39:25.275 --> 01:39:27.135
by Quai Hall Woods.
1809
01:39:31.995 --> 01:39:36.405
Okay, thank you. Um, so I propose
1810
01:39:36.585 --> 01:39:39.285
to take a break now and I think we'll come back
1811
01:39:39.285 --> 01:39:41.405
and only do, we'll do Greenbelt
1812
01:39:41.425 --> 01:39:46.085
and the other two, the other two topics will reserve
1813
01:39:46.105 --> 01:39:50.205
for future questions or, um, future hearings if need be.
1814
01:39:50.745 --> 01:39:53.445
Um, we'll address the heritage point
1815
01:39:53.545 --> 01:39:55.405
as well when we come back first.
1816
01:39:56.145 --> 01:40:00.645
Um, it's now 1730 and we'll adjourn until 1745.
1817
01:40:00.815 --> 01:40:01.245
Thank you.

